

# PREA Facility Audit Report: Final

**Name of Facility:** Hope Valley Barracks

**Facility Type:** Lockups

**Date Interim Report Submitted:** NA

**Date Final Report Submitted:** 05/04/2026

Auditor Certification	
The contents of this report are accurate to the best of my knowledge.	<input type="checkbox"/>
No conflict of interest exists with respect to my ability to conduct an audit of the agency under review.	<input type="checkbox"/>
I have not included in the final report any personally identifiable information (PII) about any inmate/resident/detainee or staff member, except where the names of administrative personnel are specifically requested in the report template.	<input type="checkbox"/>
<b>Auditor Full Name as Signed:</b> D. Will Weir	<b>Date of Signature:</b> 05/04/2026

AUDITOR INFORMATION	
<b>Auditor name:</b>	Weir, Will
<b>Email:</b>	prea.america@gmail.com
<b>Start Date of On-Site Audit:</b>	04/01/2026
<b>End Date of On-Site Audit:</b>	04/01/2026

FACILITY INFORMATION	
<b>Facility name:</b>	Hope Valley Barracks
<b>Facility physical address:</b>	54 Nooseneck Hill Road, Richmond, Rhode Island - 02898
<b>Facility mailing address:</b>	

Primary Contact
-----------------

<b>Name:</b>	Lieutenant Michael A. Brock
<b>Email Address:</b>	Michael.Brock@risp.gov
<b>Telephone Number:</b>	401-764-1068

<b>Sheriff/Chief/Director</b>	
<b>Name:</b>	Darnell S. Weaver, Colonel
<b>Email Address:</b>	darnell.weaver@risp.gov
<b>Telephone Number:</b>	401-764-5601

<b>Facility PREA Compliance Manager</b>	
<b>Name:</b>	Brian McGuire
<b>Email Address:</b>	brian.mcguire@risp.gov
<b>Telephone Number:</b>	401-764-5439
<b>Name:</b>	Kimberly Pitts-Wiley
<b>Email Address:</b>	kimberly.pittswiley@risp.gov
<b>Telephone Number:</b>	401-764-5569

<b>Facility Characteristics</b>	
<b>Designed facility capacity:</b>	2
<b>Current population of facility:</b>	0
<b>Average daily population for the past 12 months:</b>	1
<b>Has the facility been over capacity at any point in the past 12 months?</b>	No
<b>What is the facility's population designation?</b>	Both women/girls and men/boys
<b>Age range of population:</b>	18+
<b>Facility security levels/detainee custody</b>	N/A

<b>levels:</b>	
<b>Does the facility hold juveniles or youthful detainees?</b>	Yes
<b>Number of staff currently employed at the facility who may have contact with detainees:</b>	265
<b>Number of individual contractors who have contact with detainees, currently authorized to enter the facility:</b>	0
<b>Number of volunteers who have contact with detainees, currently authorized to enter the facility:</b>	0

### AGENCY INFORMATION

<b>Name of agency:</b>	Rhode Island State Police
<b>Governing authority or parent agency (if applicable):</b>	
<b>Physical Address:</b>	311 Danielson Pike, North Scituate, Rhode Island - 02857
<b>Mailing Address:</b>	
<b>Telephone number:</b>	401-444-1000

### Agency Chief Executive Officer Information:

<b>Name:</b>	Darnell S. Weaver
<b>Email Address:</b>	Darnell.Weaver@risp.gov
<b>Telephone Number:</b>	401-764-5601

### Agency-Wide PREA Coordinator Information

<b>Name:</b>	Damien Longo	<b>Email Address:</b>	damien.longo@risp.gov
--------------	--------------	-----------------------	-----------------------

## Facility AUDIT FINDINGS

### Summary of Audit Findings

The OAS automatically populates the number and list of Standards exceeded, the number of Standards met, and the number and list of Standards not met.

Auditor Note: In general, no standards should be found to be "Not Applicable" or "NA." A compliance determination must be made for each standard. In rare instances where an auditor determines that a standard is not applicable, the auditor should select "Meets Standard" and include a comprehensive discussion as to why the standard is not applicable to the facility being audited.

#### Number of standards exceeded:

4

- 115.114 - Juveniles and youthful detainees
- 115.134 - Specialized training: Investigations
- 115.162 - Agency protection duties
- 115.164 - Staff first responder duties

#### Number of standards met:

31

#### Number of standards not met:

0

## POST-AUDIT REPORTING INFORMATION

Please note: Question numbers may not appear sequentially as some questions are omitted from the report and used solely for internal reporting purposes.

### GENERAL AUDIT INFORMATION

#### On-site Audit Dates

1. Start date of the onsite portion of the audit:	2026-04-01
2. End date of the onsite portion of the audit:	2026-04-01

#### Outreach

10. Did you attempt to communicate with community-based organization(s) or victim advocates who provide services to this facility and/or who may have insight into relevant conditions in the facility?	<input checked="" type="radio"/> Yes <input type="radio"/> No
a. Identify the community-based organization(s) or victim advocates with whom you communicated:	Brown University Hospital, Rhode Island Coalition Against Domestic Violence, and the Rhode Island Victims of Crime 24 Hour Helpline.

### AUDITED FACILITY INFORMATION

14. Designated facility capacity:	2
15. Average daily population for the past 12 months:	0
16. Number of inmate/resident/detainee housing units:	1
17. Does the facility ever hold youthful inmates or youthful/juvenile detainees?	<input checked="" type="radio"/> Yes <input type="radio"/> No <input type="radio"/> Not Applicable for the facility type audited (i.e., Community Confinement Facility or Juvenile Facility)

**Audited Facility Population Characteristics on Day One of the Onsite Portion of the Audit**

**Inmates/Residents/Detainees Population Characteristics on Day One of the Onsite Portion of the Audit**

<b>23. Enter the total number of inmates/residents/detainees in the facility as of the first day of onsite portion of the audit:</b>	0
<b>24. Enter the total number of youthful inmates or youthful/juvenile detainees in the facility as of the first day of the onsite portion of the audit:</b>	0
<b>25. Enter the total number of inmates/residents/detainees with a physical disability in the facility as of the first day of the onsite portion of the audit:</b>	0
<b>26. Enter the total number of inmates/residents/detainees with a cognitive or functional disability (including intellectual disability, psychiatric disability, or speech disability) in the facility as of the first day of the onsite portion of the audit:</b>	0
<b>27. Enter the total number of inmates/residents/detainees who are Blind or have low vision (visually impaired) in the facility as of the first day of the onsite portion of the audit:</b>	0
<b>28. Enter the total number of inmates/residents/detainees who are Deaf or hard-of-hearing in the facility as of the first day of the onsite portion of the audit:</b>	0
<b>29. Enter the total number of inmates/residents/detainees who are Limited English Proficient (LEP) in the facility as of the first day of the onsite portion of the audit:</b>	0

<p><b>30. Enter the total number of inmates/residents/detainees who identify as lesbian, gay, or bisexual in the facility as of the first day of the onsite portion of the audit:</b></p>	<p>0</p>
<p><b>31. Enter the total number of inmates/residents/detainees who identify as transgender or intersex in the facility as of the first day of the onsite portion of the audit:</b></p>	<p>0</p>
<p><b>32. Enter the total number of inmates/residents/detainees who reported sexual abuse in the facility as of the first day of the onsite portion of the audit:</b></p>	<p>0</p>
<p><b>33. Enter the total number of inmates/residents/detainees who disclosed prior sexual victimization during risk screening in the facility as of the first day of the onsite portion of the audit:</b></p>	<p>0</p>
<p><b>34. Enter the total number of inmates/residents/detainees who were ever placed in segregated housing/isolation for risk of sexual victimization in the facility as of the first day of the onsite portion of the audit:</b></p>	<p>0</p>
<p><b>35. Provide any additional comments regarding the population characteristics of inmates/residents/detainees in the facility as of the first day of the onsite portion of the audit (e.g., groups not tracked, issues with identifying certain populations):</b></p>	<p>The facility did not house any detainees during the On-Site Review.</p>
<p><b>Staff, Volunteers, and Contractors Population Characteristics on Day One of the Onsite Portion of the Audit</b></p>	
<p><b>36. Enter the total number of STAFF, including both full- and part-time staff, employed by the facility as of the first day of the onsite portion of the audit:</b></p>	<p>265</p>

<b>37. Enter the total number of VOLUNTEERS assigned to the facility as of the first day of the onsite portion of the audit who have contact with inmates/residents/detainees:</b>	0
<b>38. Enter the total number of CONTRACTORS assigned to the facility as of the first day of the onsite portion of the audit who have contact with inmates/residents/detainees:</b>	0
<b>39. Provide any additional comments regarding the population characteristics of staff, volunteers, and contractors who were in the facility as of the first day of the onsite portion of the audit:</b>	<p>The number includes all RISP sworn officers because any of them may potentially have contact with detainees during the course of their assigned duties. In addition to the arresting officer and the troopers assisting the arresting officer, troopers who are assigned to the Barracks obviously have contact with the detainees they supervise. Also, detectives and administrators may have contact with detainees as they perform their duties.</p>
<b>INTERVIEWS</b>	
<b>Inmate/Resident/Detainee Interviews</b>	
<b>Random Inmate/Resident/Detainee Interviews</b>	
<b>40. Enter the total number of RANDOM INMATES/RESIDENTS/DETAINEES who were interviewed:</b>	2

<p><b>41. Select which characteristics you considered when you selected RANDOM INMATE/RESIDENT/DETAINEE interviewees: (select all that apply)</b></p>	<p><input type="checkbox"/> Age</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Race</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Ethnicity (e.g., Hispanic, Non-Hispanic)</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Length of time in the facility</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Housing assignment</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Gender</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Other</p> <p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> None</p>
<p><b>If "None," explain:</b></p>	<p>We asked for the opportunity to interview every detainee that came through, whether or not they entered a cell, and regardless of any other factors. The interviews were private and confidential (within explained limits), and each detainee was told that the interview was optional. Both detainees consented to being interviewed. One was male and the other was female.</p>
<p><b>42. How did you ensure your sample of RANDOM INMATE/RESIDENT/DETAINEE interviewees was geographically diverse?</b></p>	<p>None of the agency's 4 barracks housed detainees during the On-Site Reviews of the barracks. However, there were 2 detainees processed during the two days of the Reviews. Their intakes and screenings were observed by the Audit Team, and both detainees were interviewed after their processing before one was transported to court and the other to another agency. One of the detainees was interviewed at Scituate Barracks and the other at Hope Valley.</p>
<p><b>43. Were you able to conduct the minimum number of random inmate/resident/detainee interviews?</b></p>	<p><input checked="" type="radio"/> Yes</p> <p><input type="radio"/> No</p>

<b>44. Provide any additional comments regarding selecting or interviewing random inmates/residents/detainees (e.g., any populations you oversampled, barriers to completing interviews, barriers to ensuring representation):</b>	No text provided.
<b>Targeted Inmate/Resident/Detainee Interviews</b>	
<b>45. Enter the total number of TARGETED INMATES/RESIDENTS/DETAINEES who were interviewed:</b>	0
<p>As stated in the PREA Auditor Handbook, the breakdown of targeted interviews is intended to guide auditors in interviewing the appropriate cross-section of inmates/residents/detainees who are the most vulnerable to sexual abuse and sexual harassment. When completing questions regarding targeted inmate/resident/detainee interviews below, remember that an interview with one inmate/resident/detainee may satisfy multiple targeted interview requirements. These questions are asking about the number of interviews conducted using the targeted inmate/resident/detainee protocols. For example, if an auditor interviews an inmate who has a physical disability, is being held in segregated housing due to risk of sexual victimization, and disclosed prior sexual victimization, that interview would be included in the totals for each of those questions. Therefore, in most cases, the sum of all the following responses to the targeted inmate/resident/detainee interview categories will exceed the total number of targeted inmates/residents/detainees who were interviewed. If a particular targeted population is not applicable in the audited facility, enter "0".</p>	
<b>46. Enter the total number of interviews conducted with youthful inmates or youthful/juvenile detainees using the "Youthful Inmates" protocol:</b>	0
<b>a. Select why you were unable to conduct at least the minimum required number of targeted inmates/detainees in this category:</b>	<p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Facility said there were "none here" during the onsite portion of the audit and/or the facility was unable to provide a list of these inmates/detainees.</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> The inmates/detainees in this targeted category declined to be interviewed.</p>
<b>b. Discuss your corroboration strategies to determine if this population exists in the audited facility (e.g., based on information obtained from the PAQ; documentation reviewed onsite; and discussions with staff and other inmates/detainees).</b>	None of the agency's 4 barracks housed detainees during the On-Site Reviews of the barracks.

<p><b>47. Enter the total number of interviews conducted with inmates/residents/detainees with a physical disability using the "Disabled and Limited English Proficient Inmates" protocol:</b></p>	<p>0</p>
<p><b>a. Select why you were unable to conduct at least the minimum required number of targeted inmates/residents/detainees in this category:</b></p>	<p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Facility said there were "none here" during the onsite portion of the audit and/or the facility was unable to provide a list of these inmates/residents/detainees.</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> The inmates/residents/detainees in this targeted category declined to be interviewed.</p>
<p><b>b. Discuss your corroboration strategies to determine if this population exists in the audited facility (e.g., based on information obtained from the PAQ; documentation reviewed onsite; and discussions with staff and other inmates/residents/detainees).</b></p>	<p>None of the agency's 4 barracks housed detainees during the On-Site Reviews of the barracks.</p>
<p><b>48. Enter the total number of interviews conducted with inmates/residents/detainees with a cognitive or functional disability (including intellectual disability, psychiatric disability, or speech disability) using the "Disabled and Limited English Proficient Inmates" protocol:</b></p>	<p>0</p>
<p><b>a. Select why you were unable to conduct at least the minimum required number of targeted inmates/residents/detainees in this category:</b></p>	<p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Facility said there were "none here" during the onsite portion of the audit and/or the facility was unable to provide a list of these inmates/residents/detainees.</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> The inmates/residents/detainees in this targeted category declined to be interviewed.</p>

<p><b>b. Discuss your corroboration strategies to determine if this population exists in the audited facility (e.g., based on information obtained from the PAQ; documentation reviewed onsite; and discussions with staff and other inmates/residents/detainees).</b></p>	<p>None of the agency's 4 barracks housed detainees during the On-Site Reviews of the barracks.</p>
<p><b>49. Enter the total number of interviews conducted with inmates/residents/detainees who are Blind or have low vision (i.e., visually impaired) using the "Disabled and Limited English Proficient Inmates" protocol:</b></p>	<p>0</p>
<p><b>a. Select why you were unable to conduct at least the minimum required number of targeted inmates/residents/detainees in this category:</b></p>	<p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Facility said there were "none here" during the onsite portion of the audit and/or the facility was unable to provide a list of these inmates/residents/detainees.</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> The inmates/residents/detainees in this targeted category declined to be interviewed.</p>
<p><b>b. Discuss your corroboration strategies to determine if this population exists in the audited facility (e.g., based on information obtained from the PAQ; documentation reviewed onsite; and discussions with staff and other inmates/residents/detainees).</b></p>	<p>None of the agency's 4 barracks housed detainees during the On-Site Reviews of the barracks.</p>
<p><b>50. Enter the total number of interviews conducted with inmates/residents/detainees who are Deaf or hard-of-hearing using the "Disabled and Limited English Proficient Inmates" protocol:</b></p>	<p>0</p>
<p><b>a. Select why you were unable to conduct at least the minimum required number of targeted inmates/residents/detainees in this category:</b></p>	<p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Facility said there were "none here" during the onsite portion of the audit and/or the facility was unable to provide a list of these inmates/residents/detainees.</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> The inmates/residents/detainees in this targeted category declined to be interviewed.</p>

<p><b>b. Discuss your corroboration strategies to determine if this population exists in the audited facility (e.g., based on information obtained from the PAQ; documentation reviewed onsite; and discussions with staff and other inmates/residents/detainees).</b></p>	<p>None of the agency's 4 barracks housed detainees during the On-Site Reviews of the barracks.</p>
<p><b>51. Enter the total number of interviews conducted with inmates/residents/detainees who are Limited English Proficient (LEP) using the "Disabled and Limited English Proficient Inmates" protocol:</b></p>	<p>0</p>
<p><b>a. Select why you were unable to conduct at least the minimum required number of targeted inmates/residents/detainees in this category:</b></p>	<p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Facility said there were "none here" during the onsite portion of the audit and/or the facility was unable to provide a list of these inmates/residents/detainees.</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> The inmates/residents/detainees in this targeted category declined to be interviewed.</p>
<p><b>b. Discuss your corroboration strategies to determine if this population exists in the audited facility (e.g., based on information obtained from the PAQ; documentation reviewed onsite; and discussions with staff and other inmates/residents/detainees).</b></p>	<p>None of the agency's 4 barracks housed detainees during the On-Site Reviews of the barracks.</p>
<p><b>52. Enter the total number of interviews conducted with inmates/residents/detainees who identify as lesbian, gay, or bisexual using the "Transgender and Intersex Inmates; Gay, Lesbian, and Bisexual Inmates" protocol:</b></p>	<p>0</p>
<p><b>a. Select why you were unable to conduct at least the minimum required number of targeted inmates/residents/detainees in this category:</b></p>	<p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Facility said there were "none here" during the onsite portion of the audit and/or the facility was unable to provide a list of these inmates/residents/detainees.</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> The inmates/residents/detainees in this targeted category declined to be interviewed.</p>

<p><b>b. Discuss your corroboration strategies to determine if this population exists in the audited facility (e.g., based on information obtained from the PAQ; documentation reviewed onsite; and discussions with staff and other inmates/residents/detainees).</b></p>	<p>None of the agency's 4 barracks housed detainees during the On-Site Reviews of the barracks.</p>
<p><b>53. Enter the total number of interviews conducted with inmates/residents/detainees who identify as transgender or intersex using the "Transgender and Intersex Inmates; Gay, Lesbian, and Bisexual Inmates" protocol:</b></p>	<p>0</p>
<p><b>a. Select why you were unable to conduct at least the minimum required number of targeted inmates/residents/detainees in this category:</b></p>	<p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Facility said there were "none here" during the onsite portion of the audit and/or the facility was unable to provide a list of these inmates/residents/detainees.</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> The inmates/residents/detainees in this targeted category declined to be interviewed.</p>
<p><b>b. Discuss your corroboration strategies to determine if this population exists in the audited facility (e.g., based on information obtained from the PAQ; documentation reviewed onsite; and discussions with staff and other inmates/residents/detainees).</b></p>	<p>None of the agency's 4 barracks housed detainees during the On-Site Reviews of the barracks.</p>
<p><b>54. Enter the total number of interviews conducted with inmates/residents/detainees who reported sexual abuse in this facility using the "Inmates who Reported a Sexual Abuse" protocol:</b></p>	<p>0</p>
<p><b>a. Select why you were unable to conduct at least the minimum required number of targeted inmates/residents/detainees in this category:</b></p>	<p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Facility said there were "none here" during the onsite portion of the audit and/or the facility was unable to provide a list of these inmates/residents/detainees.</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> The inmates/residents/detainees in this targeted category declined to be interviewed.</p>

<p><b>b. Discuss your corroboration strategies to determine if this population exists in the audited facility (e.g., based on information obtained from the PAQ; documentation reviewed onsite; and discussions with staff and other inmates/residents/detainees).</b></p>	<p>None of the agency's 4 barracks housed detainees during the On-Site Reviews of the barracks.</p>
<p><b>55. Enter the total number of interviews conducted with inmates/residents/detainees who disclosed prior sexual victimization during risk screening using the "Inmates who Disclosed Sexual Victimization during Risk Screening" protocol:</b></p>	<p>0</p>
<p><b>a. Select why you were unable to conduct at least the minimum required number of targeted inmates/residents/detainees in this category:</b></p>	<p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Facility said there were "none here" during the onsite portion of the audit and/or the facility was unable to provide a list of these inmates/residents/detainees.</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> The inmates/residents/detainees in this targeted category declined to be interviewed.</p>
<p><b>b. Discuss your corroboration strategies to determine if this population exists in the audited facility (e.g., based on information obtained from the PAQ; documentation reviewed onsite; and discussions with staff and other inmates/residents/detainees).</b></p>	<p>None of the agency's 4 barracks housed detainees during the On-Site Reviews of the barracks.</p>
<p><b>56. Enter the total number of interviews conducted with inmates/residents/detainees who are or were ever placed in segregated housing/isolation for risk of sexual victimization using the "Inmates Placed in Segregated Housing (for Risk of Sexual Victimization/Who Allege to have Suffered Sexual Abuse)" protocol:</b></p>	<p>0</p>

<p><b>a. Select why you were unable to conduct at least the minimum required number of targeted inmates/residents/detainees in this category:</b></p>	<p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Facility said there were "none here" during the onsite portion of the audit and/or the facility was unable to provide a list of these inmates/residents/detainees.</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> The inmates/residents/detainees in this targeted category declined to be interviewed.</p>
<p><b>b. Discuss your corroboration strategies to determine if this population exists in the audited facility (e.g., based on information obtained from the PAQ; documentation reviewed onsite; and discussions with staff and other inmates/residents/detainees).</b></p>	<p>None of the agency's 4 barracks housed detainees during the On-Site Reviews of the barracks.</p>
<p><b>57. Provide any additional comments regarding selecting or interviewing targeted inmates/residents/detainees (e.g., any populations you oversampled, barriers to completing interviews):</b></p>	<p>No text provided.</p>
<p><b>Staff, Volunteer, and Contractor Interviews</b></p>	
<p><b>Random Staff Interviews</b></p>	
<p><b>58. Enter the total number of RANDOM STAFF who were interviewed:</b></p>	<p>13</p>
<p><b>59. Select which characteristics you considered when you selected RANDOM STAFF interviewees: (select all that apply)</b></p>	<p><input type="checkbox"/> Length of tenure in the facility</p> <p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Shift assignment</p> <p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Work assignment</p> <p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Rank (or equivalent)</p> <p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Other (e.g., gender, race, ethnicity, languages spoken)</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> None</p>
<p><b>If "Other," describe:</b></p>	<p>Attempts were made to select a diverse group of staff, including race and gender.</p>

<p><b>60. Were you able to conduct the minimum number of RANDOM STAFF interviews?</b></p>	<p><input checked="" type="radio"/> Yes</p> <p><input type="radio"/> No</p>
<p><b>61. Provide any additional comments regarding selecting or interviewing random staff (e.g., any populations you oversampled, barriers to completing interviews, barriers to ensuring representation):</b></p>	<p>No text provided.</p>
<p><b>Specialized Staff, Volunteers, and Contractor Interviews</b></p>	
<p>Staff in some facilities may be responsible for more than one of the specialized staff duties. Therefore, more than one interview protocol may apply to an interview with a single staff member and that information would satisfy multiple specialized staff interview requirements.</p>	
<p><b>62. Enter the total number of staff in a SPECIALIZED STAFF role who were interviewed (excluding volunteers and contractors):</b></p>	<p>13</p>
<p><b>63. Were you able to interview the Agency Head?</b></p>	<p><input checked="" type="radio"/> Yes</p> <p><input type="radio"/> No</p>
<p><b>64. Were you able to interview the Warden/Facility Director/Superintendent or their designee?</b></p>	<p><input checked="" type="radio"/> Yes</p> <p><input type="radio"/> No</p>
<p><b>65. Were you able to interview the PREA Coordinator?</b></p>	<p><input checked="" type="radio"/> Yes</p> <p><input type="radio"/> No</p>
<p><b>66. Were you able to interview the PREA Compliance Manager?</b></p>	<p><input type="radio"/> Yes</p> <p><input type="radio"/> No</p> <p><input checked="" type="radio"/> NA (NA if the agency is a single facility agency or is otherwise not required to have a PREA Compliance Manager per the Standards)</p>

**67. Select which SPECIALIZED STAFF roles were interviewed as part of this audit from the list below: (select all that apply)**

- Agency contract administrator
- Intermediate or higher-level facility staff responsible for conducting and documenting unannounced rounds to identify and deter staff sexual abuse and sexual harassment
- Line staff who supervise youthful inmates (if applicable)
- Education and program staff who work with youthful inmates (if applicable)
- Medical staff
- Mental health staff
- Non-medical staff involved in cross-gender strip or visual searches
- Administrative (human resources) staff
- Sexual Assault Forensic Examiner (SAFE) or Sexual Assault Nurse Examiner (SANE) staff
- Investigative staff responsible for conducting administrative investigations
- Investigative staff responsible for conducting criminal investigations
- Staff who perform screening for risk of victimization and abusiveness
- Staff who supervise inmates in segregated housing/residents in isolation
- Staff on the sexual abuse incident review team
- Designated staff member charged with monitoring retaliation
- First responders, both security and non-security staff
- Intake staff

	<input type="checkbox"/> Other
<b>68. Did you interview VOLUNTEERS who may have contact with inmates/residents/detainees in this facility?</b>	<input type="radio"/> Yes <input checked="" type="radio"/> No
<b>69. Did you interview CONTRACTORS who may have contact with inmates/residents/detainees in this facility?</b>	<input type="radio"/> Yes <input checked="" type="radio"/> No
<b>70. Provide any additional comments regarding selecting or interviewing specialized staff.</b>	No text provided.

## SITE REVIEW AND DOCUMENTATION SAMPLING

### Site Review

PREA Standard 115.401 (h) states, "The auditor shall have access to, and shall observe, all areas of the audited facilities." In order to meet the requirements in this Standard, the site review portion of the onsite audit must include a thorough examination of the entire facility. The site review is not a casual tour of the facility. It is an active, inquiring process that includes talking with staff and inmates to determine whether, and the extent to which, the audited facility's practices demonstrate compliance with the Standards. Note: As you are conducting the site review, you must document your tests of critical functions, important information gathered through observations, and any issues identified with facility practices. The information you collect through the site review is a crucial part of the evidence you will analyze as part of your compliance determinations and will be needed to complete your audit report, including the Post-Audit Reporting Information.

<b>71. Did you have access to all areas of the facility?</b>	<input checked="" type="radio"/> Yes <input type="radio"/> No
--	--

### Was the site review an active, inquiring process that included the following:

<b>72. Observations of all facility practices in accordance with the site review component of the audit instrument (e.g., signage, supervision practices, cross-gender viewing and searches)?</b>	<input checked="" type="radio"/> Yes <input type="radio"/> No
---	--

<p><b>73. Tests of all critical functions in the facility in accordance with the site review component of the audit instrument (e.g., risk screening process, access to outside emotional support services, interpretation services)?</b></p>	<p><input checked="" type="radio"/> Yes</p> <p><input type="radio"/> No</p>
<p><b>74. Informal conversations with inmates/residents/detainees during the site review (encouraged, not required)?</b></p>	<p><input checked="" type="radio"/> Yes</p> <p><input type="radio"/> No</p>
<p><b>75. Informal conversations with staff during the site review (encouraged, not required)?</b></p>	<p><input checked="" type="radio"/> Yes</p> <p><input type="radio"/> No</p>
<p><b>76. Provide any additional comments regarding the site review (e.g., access to areas in the facility, observations, tests of critical functions, or informal conversations).</b></p>	<p>No text provided.</p>
<p><b>Documentation Sampling</b></p>	
<p>Where there is a collection of records to review-such as staff, contractor, and volunteer training records; background check records; supervisory rounds logs; risk screening and intake processing records; inmate education records; medical files; and investigative files-auditors must self-select for review a representative sample of each type of record.</p>	
<p><b>77. In addition to the proof documentation selected by the agency or facility and provided to you, did you also conduct an auditor-selected sampling of documentation?</b></p>	<p><input checked="" type="radio"/> Yes</p> <p><input type="radio"/> No</p>
<p><b>78. Provide any additional comments regarding selecting additional documentation (e.g., any documentation you oversampled, barriers to selecting additional documentation, etc.).</b></p>	<p>No text provided.</p>

# SEXUAL ABUSE AND SEXUAL HARASSMENT ALLEGATIONS AND INVESTIGATIONS IN THIS FACILITY

## Sexual Abuse and Sexual Harassment Allegations and Investigations Overview

Remember the number of allegations should be based on a review of all sources of allegations (e.g., hotline, third-party, grievances) and should not be based solely on the number of investigations conducted. Note: For question brevity, we use the term “inmate” in the following questions. Auditors should provide information on inmate, resident, or detainee sexual abuse allegations and investigations, as applicable to the facility type being audited.

### 79. Total number of SEXUAL ABUSE allegations and investigations overview during the 12 months preceding the audit, by incident type:

	# of sexual abuse allegations	# of criminal investigations	# of administrative investigations	# of allegations that had both criminal and administrative investigations
<b>Inmate-on-inmate sexual abuse</b>	0	0	0	0
<b>Staff-on-inmate sexual abuse</b>	0	0	0	0
<b>Total</b>	0	0	0	0

**80. Total number of SEXUAL HARASSMENT allegations and investigations overview during the 12 months preceding the audit, by incident type:**

	<b># of sexual harassment allegations</b>	<b># of criminal investigations</b>	<b># of administrative investigations</b>	<b># of allegations that had both criminal and administrative investigations</b>
<b>Inmate-on-inmate sexual harassment</b>	0	0	0	0
<b>Staff-on-inmate sexual harassment</b>	0	0	0	0
<b>Total</b>	0	0	0	0

**Sexual Abuse and Sexual Harassment Investigation Outcomes**

**Sexual Abuse Investigation Outcomes**

Note: these counts should reflect where the investigation is currently (i.e., if a criminal investigation was referred for prosecution and resulted in a conviction, that investigation outcome should only appear in the count for “convicted.”) Do not double count. Additionally, for question brevity, we use the term “inmate” in the following questions. Auditors should provide information on inmate, resident, and detainee sexual abuse investigation files, as applicable to the facility type being audited.

**81. Criminal SEXUAL ABUSE investigation outcomes during the 12 months preceding the audit:**

	Ongoing	Referred for Prosecution	Indicted/ Court Case Filed	Convicted/ Adjudicated	Acquitted
<b>Inmate-on-inmate sexual abuse</b>	0	0	0	0	0
<b>Staff-on-inmate sexual abuse</b>	0	0	0	0	0
<b>Total</b>	0	0	0	0	0

**82. Administrative SEXUAL ABUSE investigation outcomes during the 12 months preceding the audit:**

	Ongoing	Unfounded	Unsubstantiated	Substantiated
<b>Inmate-on-inmate sexual abuse</b>	0	0	0	0
<b>Staff-on-inmate sexual abuse</b>	0	0	0	0
<b>Total</b>	0	0	0	0

**Sexual Harassment Investigation Outcomes**

Note: these counts should reflect where the investigation is currently. Do not double count. Additionally, for question brevity, we use the term "inmate" in the following questions. Auditors should provide information on inmate, resident, and detainee sexual harassment investigation files, as applicable to the facility type being audited.

**83. Criminal SEXUAL HARASSMENT investigation outcomes during the 12 months preceding the audit:**

	Ongoing	Referred for Prosecution	Indicted/ Court Case Filed	Convicted/ Adjudicated	Acquitted
<b>Inmate-on-inmate sexual harassment</b>	0	0	0	0	0
<b>Staff-on-inmate sexual harassment</b>	0	0	0	0	0
<b>Total</b>	0	0	0	0	0

**84. Administrative SEXUAL HARASSMENT investigation outcomes during the 12 months preceding the audit:**

	Ongoing	Unfounded	Unsubstantiated	Substantiated
<b>Inmate-on-inmate sexual harassment</b>	0	0	0	0
<b>Staff-on-inmate sexual harassment</b>	0	0	0	0
<b>Total</b>	0	0	0	0

**Sexual Abuse and Sexual Harassment Investigation Files Selected for Review**

**Sexual Abuse Investigation Files Selected for Review**

<b>85. Enter the total number of SEXUAL ABUSE investigation files reviewed/ sampled:</b>	0
<b>a. Explain why you were unable to review any sexual abuse investigation files:</b>	There were no allegations.

<p><b>86. Did your selection of SEXUAL ABUSE investigation files include a cross-section of criminal and/or administrative investigations by findings/outcomes?</b></p>	<p><input type="radio"/> Yes</p> <p><input type="radio"/> No</p> <p><input checked="" type="radio"/> NA (NA if you were unable to review any sexual abuse investigation files)</p>
<p><b>Inmate-on-inmate sexual abuse investigation files</b></p>	
<p><b>87. Enter the total number of INMATE-ON-INMATE SEXUAL ABUSE investigation files reviewed/sampled:</b></p>	<p>0</p>
<p><b>88. Did your sample of INMATE-ON-INMATE SEXUAL ABUSE investigation files include criminal investigations?</b></p>	<p><input type="radio"/> Yes</p> <p><input type="radio"/> No</p> <p><input checked="" type="radio"/> NA (NA if you were unable to review any inmate-on-inmate sexual abuse investigation files)</p>
<p><b>89. Did your sample of INMATE-ON-INMATE SEXUAL ABUSE investigation files include administrative investigations?</b></p>	<p><input type="radio"/> Yes</p> <p><input type="radio"/> No</p> <p><input checked="" type="radio"/> NA (NA if you were unable to review any inmate-on-inmate sexual abuse investigation files)</p>
<p><b>Staff-on-inmate sexual abuse investigation files</b></p>	
<p><b>90. Enter the total number of STAFF-ON-INMATE SEXUAL ABUSE investigation files reviewed/sampled:</b></p>	<p>0</p>
<p><b>91. Did your sample of STAFF-ON-INMATE SEXUAL ABUSE investigation files include criminal investigations?</b></p>	<p><input type="radio"/> Yes</p> <p><input type="radio"/> No</p> <p><input checked="" type="radio"/> NA (NA if you were unable to review any staff-on-inmate sexual abuse investigation files)</p>

<p><b>92. Did your sample of STAFF-ON-INMATE SEXUAL ABUSE investigation files include administrative investigations?</b></p>	<p><input type="radio"/> Yes</p> <p><input type="radio"/> No</p> <p><input checked="" type="radio"/> NA (NA if you were unable to review any staff-on-inmate sexual abuse investigation files)</p>
<p><b>Sexual Harassment Investigation Files Selected for Review</b></p>	
<p><b>93. Enter the total number of SEXUAL HARASSMENT investigation files reviewed/sampled:</b></p>	<p>0</p>
<p><b>a. Explain why you were unable to review any sexual harassment investigation files:</b></p>	<p>There were no allegations.</p>
<p><b>94. Did your selection of SEXUAL HARASSMENT investigation files include a cross-section of criminal and/or administrative investigations by findings/outcomes?</b></p>	<p><input type="radio"/> Yes</p> <p><input type="radio"/> No</p> <p><input checked="" type="radio"/> NA (NA if you were unable to review any sexual harassment investigation files)</p>
<p><b>Inmate-on-inmate sexual harassment investigation files</b></p>	
<p><b>95. Enter the total number of INMATE-ON-INMATE SEXUAL HARASSMENT investigation files reviewed/sampled:</b></p>	<p>0</p>
<p><b>96. Did your sample of INMATE-ON-INMATE SEXUAL HARASSMENT files include criminal investigations?</b></p>	<p><input type="radio"/> Yes</p> <p><input type="radio"/> No</p> <p><input checked="" type="radio"/> NA (NA if you were unable to review any inmate-on-inmate sexual harassment investigation files)</p>

<p><b>97. Did your sample of INMATE-ON-INMATE SEXUAL HARASSMENT investigation files include administrative investigations?</b></p>	<p><input type="radio"/> Yes</p> <p><input type="radio"/> No</p> <p><input checked="" type="radio"/> NA (NA if you were unable to review any inmate-on-inmate sexual harassment investigation files)</p>
<p><b>Staff-on-inmate sexual harassment investigation files</b></p>	
<p><b>98. Enter the total number of STAFF-ON-INMATE SEXUAL HARASSMENT investigation files reviewed/sampled:</b></p>	<p>0</p>
<p><b>99. Did your sample of STAFF-ON-INMATE SEXUAL HARASSMENT investigation files include criminal investigations?</b></p>	<p><input type="radio"/> Yes</p> <p><input type="radio"/> No</p> <p><input checked="" type="radio"/> NA (NA if you were unable to review any staff-on-inmate sexual harassment investigation files)</p>
<p><b>100. Did your sample of STAFF-ON-INMATE SEXUAL HARASSMENT investigation files include administrative investigations?</b></p>	<p><input type="radio"/> Yes</p> <p><input type="radio"/> No</p> <p><input checked="" type="radio"/> NA (NA if you were unable to review any staff-on-inmate sexual harassment investigation files)</p>
<p><b>101. Provide any additional comments regarding selecting and reviewing sexual abuse and sexual harassment investigation files.</b></p>	<p>No text provided.</p>

## SUPPORT STAFF INFORMATION

### DOJ-certified PREA Auditors Support Staff

102. Did you receive assistance from any DOJ-CERTIFIED PREA AUDITORS at any point during this audit? REMEMBER: the audit includes all activities from the pre-onsite through the post-onsite phases to the submission of the final report. Make sure you respond accordingly.

- Yes  
 No

### Non-certified Support Staff

103. Did you receive assistance from any NON-CERTIFIED SUPPORT STAFF at any point during this audit? REMEMBER: the audit includes all activities from the pre-onsite through the post-onsite phases to the submission of the final report. Make sure you respond accordingly.

- Yes  
 No

a. Enter the TOTAL NUMBER OF NON-CERTIFIED SUPPORT who provided assistance at any point during this audit:

1

## AUDITING ARRANGEMENTS AND COMPENSATION

108. Who paid you to conduct this audit?

- The audited facility or its parent agency
- My state/territory or county government employer (if you audit as part of a consortium or circular auditing arrangement, select this option)
- A third-party auditing entity (e.g., accreditation body, consulting firm)
- Other

Identify the entity by name:

PREA America, LLC. I own the company.

<b>Standards</b>
<p><b>Auditor Overall Determination Definitions</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Exceeds Standard (Substantially exceeds requirement of standard)</li> <li>• Meets Standard (substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the stand for the relevant review period)</li> <li>• Does Not Meet Standard (requires corrective actions)</li> </ul>
<p><b>Auditor Discussion Instructions</b></p> <p>Auditor discussion, including the evidence relied upon in making the compliance or non-compliance determination, the auditor’s analysis and reasoning, and the auditor’s conclusions. This discussion must also include corrective action recommendations where the facility does not meet standard. These recommendations must be included in the Final Report, accompanied by information on specific corrective actions taken by the facility.</p>

<b>115.111 Zero tolerance of sexual abuse and sexual harassment</b>
<p><b>Auditor Overall Determination:</b> Meets Standard</p>
<p><b>Auditor Discussion</b></p> <p>This Standard requires that "(a) An agency shall have a written policy mandating zero tolerance toward all forms of sexual abuse and sexual harassment and outlining the agency's approach to preventing, detecting, and responding to such conduct. (b) An agency shall employ or designate an upper-level, agency-wide PREA coordinator with sufficient time and authority to develop, implement, and oversee agency efforts to comply with the PREA standards in all of its lockups." This Standard provides a structure under which all the other Standards fall and interconnect.</p> <p>Analysis: The policies reviewed for this Audit match the requirements of the PREA Standard. RISP employs and designates an upper-level, agency-wide PREA Coordinator who has sufficient time and authority to develop, implement, and oversee the agency's efforts to comply with the PREA Standards in the facility. The PREA Coordinator (PC) reports to the Colonel. The Colonel functions as the Superintendent and also as the Director of the Department of Public Safety. The Colonel answers directly to the Governor. The PREA Coordinator is a Captain and is charged with operating the RISP Professional Standards Office. In addition to the review of policies and the organizational chart, evidence used to determine</p>

	<p>compliance with this Standard includes interviews with the PC, the Facility Commander, and Troopers who supervise detainees. The policy references specific to this Standard are found in Rhode Island State Police General Order – 52H, Law Enforcement Operations – Field Operations, Prisoner Handling/Custody: Prison Rape Elimination Act (PREA). In this report, I'm abbreviating this to RISP GO 52H. Pages 1, 3, 4, 6-8, &amp; 10-13 apply specifically to this Standard. Additional documentation reviewed includes the Training Curricula, Annual Staffing Plan, and rosters of Officers. A triangulation of evidence verifies compliance with this Standard.</p>
--	--

<b>115.112</b>	<b>Contracting with other entities for the confinement of detainees</b>
	<b>Auditor Overall Determination:</b> Meets Standard
	<b>Auditor Discussion</b>
	<p>N/A. Neither the facility nor the agency reports any contracts with other entities for the confinement of its detainees.</p> <p>Analysis: Evidence used to determine compliance with this Standard includes interviews with the PREA Coordinator and other administrators, detainee logs and rosters, and randomly selected agency detainee files indicating release information. Compliance with this Standard is established.</p>

<b>115.113</b>	<b>Supervision and monitoring</b>
	<b>Auditor Overall Determination:</b> Meets Standard
	<b>Auditor Discussion</b>
	<p>The Standard requires the agency to develop a staffing plan for each lockup “that provides for adequate levels of staffing, and, where applicable, video monitoring, to protect detainees against sexual abuse. In calculating adequate staffing levels and determining the need for video monitoring, agencies shall take into consideration; (1) The physical layout of each lockup; (2) The composition of the detainee population; (3) The prevalence of substantiated and unsubstantiated incidents of sexual abuse; and (4) Any other relevant factors. (b) In circumstances where the staffing plan is not complied with, the lockup shall document and justify all deviations from the plan. (c) Whenever necessary, but no less frequently than once each year, the lockup shall assess, determine, and document whether adjustments are needed to: (1) The staffing plan established pursuant to paragraph (a) of this section; (2) Prevailing staffing patterns; (3) The lockup’s deployment of video monitoring systems and other monitoring technologies; and (4) The resources the lockup has available to commit to ensure adequate staffing levels. (d) If vulnerable detainees are identified pursuant to the screening required by § 115.141, security</p>

staff shall provide such detainees with heightened protection, to include continuous direct sight and sound supervision, single-cell housing, or placement in a cell actively monitored on video by a staff member sufficiently proximate to intervene, unless no such option is determined to be feasible.” The Rhode Island State Police (RISP) has one staffing plan for the agency, but the unique features and staffing needs of each of the four barracks are listed in the section of the Plan dedicated to that Barracks. Additionally, the floor plans for each of the Barracks are attached to the plan for easy reference. A Plan such as this that is concise, yet inclusive to all of the Barracks, is appropriate for RISP. Although there are officers dedicated to each of the Barracks, any sworn officer of RISP may need to use any of the lockups. The Staffing Plan includes sections for each of the provisions in this Standard and explains the Staffing Plan development process, including those provisions that do not seem to apply currently. It provides best-practice guidance on updating the Plan if the provision becomes applicable.

Analysis: Evidence used to determine compliance with this Standard includes: Interviews with the Agency’s Head, Captains, the Facility Commander, Troopers, and the PREA Coordinator; Staffing Plan; Interdepartmental Communications regarding the Staffing Plan; Documentation of Annual Reviews; and RISP GO 52H, pages 7, 8, & 16. The Staffing Plan encapsulates many of the agency’s efforts to comply with the PREA Standards. It starts with a general description of the Barracks, “The Rhode Island State Police Scituate, Lincoln Woods, Wickford, and Hope Valley Barracks are short-term holding facilities for adult male and female detainees awaiting arraignment or appearance before a Bail Commissioner. All four facilities utilize audio and video surveillance systems that provide the majority coverage of all areas where prisoners are permitted.” It goes on to describe the camera views and the requirement that each of the Barracks be staffed with at least two troopers when a detainee is present. It addresses opposite-gender supervision. Each facility has a control room that is separate from the lockup cells. Toilets have privacy. Detainees are not held at any of the Barracks long enough to require shower access. The Zero Tolerance notification process is explained. The screening process for determining whether a detainee is vulnerable is explained, along with the actions required for detainees deemed vulnerable. The Coordinated Response Plan (CRP) is summarized. The informational posters about how to report incidents, the Staffing Plan, and the CRP are to be posted in each of the Barracks. A triangulation of evidence verifies compliance with this Standard.

<b>115.114</b>	<b>Juveniles and youthful detainees</b>
	<b>Auditor Overall Determination:</b> Exceeds Standard
	<b>Auditor Discussion</b>
	This Standard, in its entirety, states, “Juveniles and youthful detainees shall be held separately from adult detainees.” However, other Standards and DOJ Frequently Asked Questions (FAQs) consider youthfulness a risk factor and indicate that

juveniles and youthful detainees may be vulnerable in adult populations. Sight and sound separation from adult detainees is the best practice in most cases.

Analysis: Evidence used to determine compliance with this Standard includes interviews with the facility Commander, other administrators, and selected staff; and a review of detainee lists and Agency PREA Policy found in RISP GO 52H, pages 2, 7, 8, 10, & 11; RISP GO 52C, page 16; and RISP GO 52E (Juveniles), page 16. RISP GO 52E strengthens the PREA Policy, stating that “Juveniles being transported or detained, whether securely or non-securely, shall be kept separate and apart from any adult offenders. This provision shall include both visual and sound separation.” GO 52E requires that juvenile enforcement, prevention, and diversion programs be reviewed annually. Even more reinforcement of the spirit of this Standard comes in the Staffing Plan, which states that “The agency uses a Computer-Assisted Dispatch (CAD) system that tracks troopers’ activities from the time a stop is created until its conclusion. Troopers conducting motor vehicle stops pull calls via CAD, and they notify a supervisor of the arrest. Vehicle mileage is tracked and documented in CAD for all transports involving juveniles and whenever a trooper is transporting a detainee of the opposite gender.” The agency detains very few juvenile detainees in Barracks. Interviews indicate that, in rare instances when a juvenile is brought to a Barracks, they keep juveniles only in Barracks with no adult detainees and keep them in custody for the shortest possible time. However, the facility has the capacity for sight and sound separation. No juveniles were held in the past year in any of the Barracks. A triangulation of evidence clearly indicates the agency significantly exceeds the compliance requirements for this Standard.

<b>115.115</b>	<b>Limits to cross-gender viewing and searches</b>
	<b>Auditor Overall Determination:</b> Meets Standard
	<b>Auditor Discussion</b>
	<p>This Standard sets a clear minimum Standard for searches of detainees held in lockups. It says that “The lockup shall not conduct cross-gender strip searches or cross-gender visual body cavity searches (meaning a search of the anal or genital opening) except in exigent circumstances or when performed by medical practitioners.” Further, it requires that “The lockup shall document all cross-gender strip searches and cross-gender visual body cavity searches.” Additionally, this Standard instructs that “The lockup shall implement policies and procedures that enable detainees to shower, perform bodily functions, and change clothing without nonmedical staff of the opposite gender viewing their breasts, buttocks, or genitalia, except in exigent circumstances or when such viewing is incidental to routine cell checks. Such policies and procedures shall require staff of the opposite gender to announce their presence when entering an area where detainees are likely to be showering, performing bodily functions, or changing clothing. (d) The lockup shall not search or physically examine a transgender or intersex detainee for the sole purpose of determining the detainee’s genital status. If the detainee’s genital status</p>

	<p>is unknown, it may be determined during conversations with the detainee, by reviewing medical records, or, if necessary, by learning that information as part of a broader medical examination conducted in private by a medical practitioner. (e) The agency shall train law enforcement staff in how to conduct cross-gender pat-down searches, and searches of transgender and intersex detainees, in a professional and respectful manner, and in the least intrusive manner possible, consistent with security needs.” RISP policy provides for the use of other agencies to assign an officer of the same gender to conduct a search if necessary.</p> <p>Analysis: Interviews, policies, and documents reviewed indicate that facility rules are consistent with all provisions of this Standard and that RISP provides detainees with more than the minimum level of privacy required by most of the provisions. No cross-gender strip searches or cross-gender visual body cavity searches are known to have been conducted by RISP in the past year. Evidence used to determine compliance with this Standard includes interviews with randomly selected staff and two agency detainees; RISP GO 52C, pages 3, 6-8; RISP GO 52A (Rhode Island State Police General Order - 77A, Section: Law Enforcement Operations - Investigations; Criminal Investigations), pages 2-4; RISP GO 52H, pages 2, 6-7 and the PREA Training Curriculum. A triangulation of evidence verifies compliance with this Standard.</p>
--	---

<p><b>115.116</b></p>	<p><b>Detainees with disabilities and detainees who are limited English proficient</b></p>
	<p><b>Auditor Overall Determination:</b> Meets Standard</p>
	<p><b>Auditor Discussion</b></p>
	<p>This Standard requires that “(a) The agency shall take appropriate steps to ensure that detainees with disabilities (including, for example, detainees who are deaf or hard of hearing, those who are blind or have low vision, or those who have intellectual, psychiatric, or speech disabilities), have an equal opportunity to participate in or benefit from all aspects of the agency’s efforts to prevent, detect, and respond to sexual abuse and sexual harassment. Such steps shall include, when necessary to ensure effective communication with detainees who are deaf or hard of hearing, providing access to interpreters who can interpret effectively, accurately, and impartially, both receptively and expressively, using any necessary specialized vocabulary. In addition, the agency shall ensure that written materials are provided in formats or through methods that ensure effective communication with detainees with disabilities, including detainees who have intellectual disabilities, limited reading skills, or who are blind or have low vision. . . .” And, “(b) The agency shall take reasonable steps to ensure meaningful access to all aspects of the agency’s efforts to prevent, detect, and respond to sexual abuse and sexual harassment to detainees who are limited English proficient, including steps to provide interpreters who can interpret effectively, accurately, and impartially, both receptively and expressively, using any necessary specialized vocabulary. (c)</p>

	<p>The agency shall not rely on detainee interpreters, detainee readers, or other types of detainee assistants except in limited circumstances where an extended delay in obtaining an effective interpreter could compromise the detainee’s safety, the performance of first-response duties under § 115.164, or the investigation of the detainee’s allegations.” The facility provides a list of interpreter services, with instructions and protocols for utilizing the services, including for emergencies. A poster in the booking area is used to help determine what language a detainee speaks. Also provided are the RISP policies, applying to all facilities, for providing close supervision, when needed, for detainees who have developmental disabilities and/or serious mental health needs. iPads are provided with closed captioning for the hearing impaired. These policies address the identification of needs and provide appropriate services during Intake and throughout the detainee's time in care. Also specifically addressed is the provision of services for victims of sexual assault. In the past 12 months, there have been no instances in which detainee interpreters, readers, or other types of detainee assistants have been used, and it was not the case that an extended delay in obtaining another interpreter would have compromised the detainee’s safety, the performance of first-response duties under § 115.364, or the investigation of the detainee’s allegations.</p> <p>Analysis: Evidence used to determine compliance with this Standard includes interviews with administrators, supervisors, and troopers; RISP GO 55D (Rhode Island State Police General Order - 55D, Section: Law Enforcement Operations — Field Operations, Article 55 — Communications: Title Managing Communication Barriers), pages 1-4; RISP GO 55C (Rhode Island State Police General Order - 55C, Section: Law Enforcement Operations - Field Operations: Article 55 - Communications, Title: Sign Language Interpreter Referral Service and Auxiliary Aids), page 1; RISP GO 55H, page 7; RISP Special Order 20-27 Language Line Solutions Client ID, with Instructions; and Language Line Solutions Quick Reference Guide with instructions. A triangulation of evidence confirms compliance with this Standard.</p>
--	---

<b>115.117</b>	<b>Hiring and promotion decisions</b>
	<p><b>Auditor Overall Determination:</b> Meets Standard</p> <p><b>Auditor Discussion</b></p> <p>The Standard requires that “(a) The agency shall not hire or promote anyone who may have contact with detainees, and shall not enlist the services of any contractor who may have contact with detainees, who— (1) Has engaged in sexual abuse in a prison, jail, lockup, community confinement facility, juvenile facility, or other institution (as defined in 42 U.S.C. 1997); (2) Has been convicted of engaging or attempting to engage in sexual activity in the community facilitated by force, overt or implied threats of force, or coercion, or if the victim did not consent or was unable to consent or refuse; or (3) Has been civilly or administratively adjudicated to have engaged in the activity described in paragraph (a)(2) of this section. (b) The</p>

	<p>agency shall consider any incidents of sexual harassment in determining whether to hire or promote anyone, or to enlist the services of any contractor, who may have contact with detainees. (c) Before hiring new employees who may have contact with detainees, the agency shall: (1) Perform a criminal background records check; and (2) Consistent with Federal, State, and local law, make its best efforts to contact all prior institutional employers for information on substantiated allegations of sexual abuse or any resignation during a pending investigation of an allegation of sexual abuse. (d) The agency shall also perform a criminal background records check before enlisting the services of any contractor who may have contact with detainees. (e) The agency shall either conduct criminal background records checks at least every five years of current employees and contractors who may have contact with detainees or have in place a system for otherwise capturing such information for current employees. (f) The agency shall ask all applicants and employees who may have contact with detainees directly about previous misconduct described in paragraph (a) of this section in written applications or interviews for hiring or promotions and in any interviews or written self-evaluations conducted as part of reviews of current employees. The agency shall also impose upon employees a continuing affirmative duty to disclose any such misconduct. (g) Material omissions regarding such misconduct, or the provision of materially false information, shall be grounds for termination. (h) Unless prohibited by law, the agency shall provide information on substantiated allegations of sexual abuse or sexual harassment involving a former employee upon receiving a request from an institutional employer for whom such employee has applied to work.” RISP policy is consistent with this Standard. Policy requires that all troopers reenlist every three years and that all reviews and background checks be conducted as if they were new hires. Background checks are conducted before anyone is invited to apply for a promotion, and no one is considered for a promotion unless they are eligible. There are no contractors or volunteers. Only sworn officers are allowed to have contact with detainees in the cellblock.</p> <p>Analysis: Evidence used to determine compliance with this Standard includes interviews with staff and with the Director of Human Resources; records of 10 randomly selected staff who may have contact with detainees, including 3 who have received promotions; and RISP GO 52H, pages 5 &amp; 6; and RISP GO 52C, pages 6-8. A triangulation of evidence verifies compliance with this Standard.</p>
--	---

<b>115.118</b>	<b>Upgrades to facilities and technologies</b>
	<b>Auditor Overall Determination:</b> Meets Standard
	<b>Auditor Discussion</b>
	<p>This Standard states that “(a) When designing or acquiring any new lockup and in planning any substantial expansion or modification of existing lockups, the agency shall consider the effect of the design, acquisition, expansion, or modification upon the agency’s ability to protect detainees from sexual abuse. (b) When installing or</p>

	<p>updating a video monitoring system, electronic surveillance system, or other monitoring technology, the agency shall consider how such technology may enhance the agency’s ability to protect detainees from sexual abuse.” RISP has not acquired a new facility or made substantial changes since the previous Audit. However, construction of a new facility was underway during the On-Site reviews of the facilities being audited.</p> <p>Analysis: Evidence used to determine compliance with this Standard includes interviews with the Agency Head, the Facility Commanders, and other administrators, and a review of RISP GO 52H, page 6. Additionally, the Audit Team toured the construction site with the PC and the Major in charge of the project. The new barracks are designed for sight and sound separation of detainees when needed, such as for juveniles and vulnerable detainees. Additionally, the new facility is expected to have state-of-the-art video monitoring and electronic controls, while retaining experienced staff. Two of the old facilities will be decommissioned, and their staff will be combined in the new barracks. There is a triangulation of evidence of compliance with this Standard.</p>
--	---

<b>115.121</b>	<b>Evidence protocol and forensic medical examinations</b>
	<b>Auditor Overall Determination:</b> Meets Standard
	<p><b>Auditor Discussion</b></p> <p>The Standard requires, "(a) To the extent the agency is responsible for investigating allegations of sexual abuse in its lockups, the agency shall follow a uniform evidence protocol that maximizes the potential for obtaining usable physical evidence for administrative proceedings and criminal prosecutions. (b) The protocol shall be developmentally appropriate for youth where applicable, and, as appropriate, shall be adapted from or otherwise based on the most recent edition of the U.S. Department of Justice's Office on Violence Against Women publication, 'A National Protocol for Sexual Assault Medical Forensic Examinations, Adults/ Adolescents,' or similarly comprehensive and authoritative protocols developed after 2011. As part of the training required in § 115.131, employees and volunteers who may have contact with lockup detainees shall receive basic training regarding how to detect and respond to victims of sexual abuse. (c) The agency shall offer all victims of sexual abuse access to forensic medical examinations whether on-site or at an outside facility, without financial cost, where evidentiarily or medically appropriate. Such examinations shall be performed by Sexual Assault Forensic Examiners (SAFEs) or Sexual Assault Nurse Examiners (SANEs) where possible. If SAFEs or SANEs cannot be made available, the examination can be performed by other qualified medical practitioners. The agency shall document its efforts to provide SAFEs or SANEs. (d) If the detainee is transported for a forensic examination to an outside hospital that offers victim advocacy services, the detainee shall be permitted to use such services to the extent available, consistent with security needs...."</p>

RISP completes both administrative and criminal investigations. The Professional Standards Office completes administrative investigations. Criminal investigations of sexual abuse of a detainee would be completed either through their Major Crimes or Special Victims' Units, depending on the nature of the allegation. No external agencies are responsible for conducting administrative or criminal investigations; however, RISP works closely with the Attorney General's Office when cases may be appropriate for AG oversight or consultation. No allegations have been received, and no investigations or forensic interviews have been performed or indicated in the past 12 months regarding any sexual abuse or sexual harassment of a detainee while in RISP custody. Nobody interviewed about these types of potential incidents can remember any, even in previous years. However, the agency conducts many sexual abuse investigations as part of its duties as the Rhode Island State Police. The Auditor assessed whether the high levels of training and experience in RISP, in dealing with sexual assault cases, would be applied according to the PREA Standards. The Audit Team did not have any cases to review as proof of practice, so a close analysis of policy, training materials, and interviewees' answers regarding their firsthand observations of their agency's inner workings was conducted. All interviews, without exception, indicated that RISP follows policy and training as closely as possible. Their training materials and policies are always available to them for easy reference, in addition to the mandated accountability of documentation through the chain of command. They explained that this is reinforced not only by hierarchy and discipline, but by their internal culture.

RISP is a policy-driven agency, leaving little to chance, so a review of its policy on investigations is appropriate for this report. RISP GO 77A (Rhode Island State Police General Order - 77A, Section: Law Enforcement Operations - Criminal Investigations), page 1, states, "Whenever a major crime is reported to a barracks, the Detective Bureau shall be notified. All Capital offenses that require notification include: Homicides, First Degree Sexual Assault, First Degree Child Molestation, Kidnappings, Robberies, and Assaults that may result in serious injury or death." RISP GO 3C (Rhode Island State Police General Order - 3C; Section: Administration - General Management - Internal Investigation Procedure / Policy) states on page 1, that an internal investigation is "An administrative inquiry into the facts and circumstances surrounding a complaint." It goes on to say that "the Superintendent has a responsibility to cause a prompt, thorough investigation of all allegations and complaints received against the agency or its employees, to include anonymous complaints." It says that "Whether an allegation or complaint is valid or baseless, each such allegation or complaint shall be carefully and objectively evaluated, and the investigation shall be well documented." On page 6, it states that "To ensure accountability for all internal investigations and other matters as so designed by the Superintendent, all internal matters, allegations, or other complaints against personnel will be the responsibility of the OIC - Professional Standards Unit." Further, on page 8, it requires the "Maintaining, at the direction of the Superintendent, a liaison with the Department of the Attorney General in investigations alleging criminal conduct on the part of a member or an employee."

RISP GO 52H, pages 11 & 12, addresses ensuring developmentally appropriate

	<p>protocols are followed for youth and that proper evidence protocols are used, including for first responders and for forensic medical examinations, emergency medical treatment, and the utilization of Sexual Abuse Nurse Examiners (SANEs) and Forensic Examiners (SAFEs). Additionally, RISP GO 53 B (Rhode Island State Police General Order – 53B; Section: Law Enforcement Operations – Field Operations -- Collection, Preservation and Analysis of Evidence (17 pages) covers uniform protocols for evidence collection and preservation. The Rhode Island State Police Training Academy teaches how to conduct criminal investigations. Academy classes include Sexual Assault Investigation, Crime Scene Processing, Evidence Preservation, Interviewing, Interrogation, and Internal Affairs. Topics covered in these classes include legal issues; cultural competency; trauma; victim response; medical and mental health care issues of sexual assault victims; First Responder responsibilities; evidence collection, processing, and preservation; interviews with victims and suspects; ensuring proper documentation; working with the Attorney General's Office and Victim Advocates; Miranda rights; and application of Garrity rights. Forensic examinations are offered by Sexual Assault Forensic Examiners (SAFEs) or Sexual Assault Nurse Examiners (SANEs) at local hospitals or, most often, at Rhode Island Hospital in Providence. All barracks are within a 45-minute drive of the main Hospital.</p> <p>Analysis: Evidence used to determine compliance with this Standard includes interviews with Troopers, the PREA Coordinator, the Commander, forensic experts, investigators, the SAFE Program Coordinator &amp; Research Supervisor at Brown Health, and advocates at the Rhode Island Coalition Against Domestic Violence and Day One. Materials reviewed include the policies described above and elsewhere in this report and training curriculum. A triangulation of the evidence demonstrates compliance with this Standard.</p>
--	--

<b>115.122</b>	<b>Policies to ensure referrals of allegations for investigations</b>
	<b>Auditor Overall Determination:</b> Meets Standard
	<p><b>Auditor Discussion</b></p> <p>The Standard states that “(a) The agency shall ensure that an administrative or criminal investigation is completed for all allegations of sexual abuse and sexual harassment. (b) If another law enforcement agency is responsible for conducting investigations of allegations of sexual abuse or sexual harassment in its lockups, the agency shall have in place a policy to ensure that such allegations are referred for investigation to an agency with the legal authority to conduct criminal investigations, unless the allegation does not involve potentially criminal behavior. The agency shall publish such policy, including a description of responsibilities of both the agency and the investigating entity, on its website, or, if it does not have one, make available the policy through other means. The agency shall document all such referrals ....” RISP and each barracks ensure that an administrative or criminal investigation is completed for all allegations of sexual abuse and sexual</p>

	<p>harassment. In the past 12 months, there have been no allegations of sexual abuse or sexual harassment received regarding detainees. The agency documents all referrals of allegations of sexual abuse or sexual harassment for criminal investigation. Investigative responsibilities are published on the agency website.</p> <p>Analysis: Evidence used to determine compliance with this Standard includes: Interviews with the Agency Head, the PREA Coordinator, troopers, and investigative staff; agency website; and policies and procedures governing investigations of allegations of sexual abuse and sexual harassment found in RISP GO 77A and 77B, as well as GO 52H. The tests of the reporting system concluded in discussions about how reports are directed to investigators. A triangulation of evidence verifies compliance with this Standard.</p>
--	---

<b>115.131</b>	<b>Employee and volunteer training</b>
	<b>Auditor Overall Determination:</b> Meets Standard
	<b>Auditor Discussion</b>
	<p>The Standard states, "(a) The agency shall train all employees and volunteers who may have contact with lockup detainees to be able to fulfill their responsibilities under agency sexual abuse prevention, detection, and response policies and procedures, including training on: (1) The agency's zero-tolerance policy and detainees' right to be free from sexual abuse and sexual harassment; (2) The dynamics of sexual abuse and harassment in confinement settings, including which detainees are most vulnerable in lockup settings; (3) The right of detainees and employees to be free from retaliation for reporting sexual abuse or harassment; (4) How to detect and respond to signs of threatened and actual abuse; (5) How to communicate effectively and professionally with all detainees; And (6) How to comply with relevant laws related to mandatory reporting of sexual abuse to outside authorities. (b) All current employees and volunteers who may have contact with lockup detainees shall be trained within one year of the effective date of the PREA standards, and the agency shall provide annual refresher information to all such employees and volunteers to ensure that they know the agency's current sexual abuse and sexual harassment policies and procedures. (c) The agency shall document, through employee signature or electronic verification, that employees understand the training they have received." RISP trains all employees who may have contact with detainees on all these required matters, as confirmed by reviews of training materials and more than 20 interviews with administrators and troopers. Between trainings, RISP provides employees with annual refresher information about current policies regarding sexual abuse and sexual harassment. Power DMS is used to distribute new policies, procedures, and training, and to ensure that all staff who may have contact with detainees have read and understand the information. There are no contractors or volunteers who are allowed contact with detainees.</p> <p>Analysis: Evidence used to determine compliance with this Standard includes: Interviews with administrators, trainers, and troopers; training policy and</p>

	<p>procedures; training curricula; and PowerDMS records documenting staff training regarding compliance with this Standard. Policy and procedures for training are found in RISP GO 52H. The evidence collectively demonstrates compliance with this Standard.</p>
--	--

<b>115.132</b>	<b>Detainee, contractor, and inmate worker notification of the agency's zero-tolerance policy</b>
	<b>Auditor Overall Determination:</b> Meets Standard
	<b>Auditor Discussion</b>
	<p>The Standard states, “(a) During the intake process, employees shall notify all detainees of the agency’s zero-tolerance policy regarding sexual abuse and sexual harassment. (b) The agency shall ensure that, upon entering the lockup, contractors and any inmates who work in the lockup are informed of the agency’s zero-tolerance policy regarding sexual abuse and sexual harassment.” Part of the Standard is not applicable because RISP does not utilize inmate workers and does not allow volunteers or contractors to have contact with detainees.</p> <p>Analysis: Evidence used to determine compliance with this Standard includes interviews with two agency detainees; the On-Site review of all 4 Barracks; interviews with troopers who serve as intake staff; interviews with administrators; 23 detainee files; RISP training curriculum; RISP GO 55H; observation of bi-lingual zero-tolerance postings; and observation of language line availability and postings in the cell and intake areas. All detainees are notified of the agency’s zero-tolerance policy regarding sexual abuse and sexual harassment, even if they are not going to be placed into a cell. RISP complies fully with this Standard.</p>

<b>115.134</b>	<b>Specialized training: Investigations</b>
	<b>Auditor Overall Determination:</b> Exceeds Standard
	<b>Auditor Discussion</b>
	<p>This Standard says that “(a) In addition to the general training provided to all employees and volunteers pursuant to § 115.131, the agency shall ensure that, to the extent the agency itself conducts sexual abuse investigations, its investigators have received training in conducting such investigations in confinement settings. (b) Specialized training shall include techniques for interviewing sexual abuse victims, proper use of Miranda and Garrity warnings, sexual abuse evidence collection in confinement settings, and the criteria and evidence required to substantiate a case for administrative action or prosecution referral. (c) The agency shall maintain documentation that agency investigators have completed the required specialized</p>

training in conducting sexual abuse investigations....” RISP trains all its officers in the basics of sexual abuse investigations beyond what is required in this Standard, but the officers do not conduct the investigations unless they have experience conducting other types of investigations and have been in supportive roles working with sexual abuse investigators, and even then, must be assigned to such work under experienced supervisors. Investigators and detectives have experience conducting criminal sexual abuse investigations in the community, but have not conducted any regarding RISP detainees because there have been no allegations. Some detectives have experience conducting sexual abuse investigations in confinement because they are occasionally assigned to conduct investigations for the Rhode Island Department of Corrections. RISP is a reporting entity for RIDOC (See <https://doc.ri.gov/more-resources/prison-rape-elimination-act-prea>). RISP conducts its own administrative investigations, but only after first conducting criminal investigations when indicated. The Rhode Island State Police are officially certified with their own independent crime lab and employ numerous sexual abuse experts.

Analysis: Evidence used to determine compliance with this Standard includes interviews with investigators who conduct administrative sexual abuse investigations, as well as those who conduct criminal sexual abuse investigations. Administrators of the Special Victims’ Unit and of the Training Academy were interviewed. Training curriculum from the National Institute of Corrections and associated Certificates of Completion for 5 investigators were reviewed. RISP GO 52H, page 7, is consistent with this Standard. Provisions of this Standard are exceeded in the following ways: Troopers, not just investigators, receive training in the basics of sexual abuse investigations, making them well prepared to perform first-responder duties. Prior to being assigned sexual abuse investigations, both administrative and criminal investigators have experience in conducting other types of investigations. All sexual abuse investigators are supervised by experienced and accomplished sexual abuse investigators. Members of the Forensic Services Unit & Special Victims Unit (criminal investigators) have completed training for conducting administrative investigations, making all types of investigators well prepared to work together.

<b>115.141</b>	<b>Screening for risk of victimization and abusiveness</b>
	<b>Auditor Overall Determination:</b> Meets Standard
	<b>Auditor Discussion</b>
	The Standard requires that “In lockups that are utilized to house detainees overnight, all detainees shall be screened to assess their risk of being sexually abused by other detainees or sexually abusive toward other detainees.” It goes on to require the screenings to include the following components: “staff shall ask the detainee about his or her own perception of vulnerability [...] and shall also consider, to the extent that the information is available, the following criteria to

	<p>screen detainees for risk of sexual victimization: (1) Whether the detainee has a mental, physical, or developmental disability; (2) The age of the detainee; (3) The physical build and appearance of the detainee; (4) Whether the detainee has previously been incarcerated; and (5) The nature of the detainee’s alleged offense and criminal history.” RISP conducts these screenings using a uniform screening instrument that clearly documents each of the above requirements. This screening is performed on every detainee, not just those who are placed in cells. This screening is completed in conjunction with Standard 115.132, informing detainees regarding the agency’s zero-tolerance policy regarding sexual abuse and sexual harassment.</p> <p>Analysis: Evidence used to determine compliance with this Standard includes the observation of two agency detainee screenings by the Auditor, which was followed by a private interview with each detainee. Twenty-three proof-of-practice examples of detainee screenings were reviewed, including one documented proof-of-practice example involving a high-risk, vulnerable detainee. These selections included at least 5 that were randomly selected from the rosters of each of the Barracks of all detainees held at any point in the past 12 months. Policy is found in RISP GO 55H, pages 6 -8. Documentation provided during the Audit showed slight variations in the Prisoner Intake/Screening Form, so it was updated and redistributed, with instructions to destroy the old versions of the form. 13 of the interviews conducted with troopers and higher-ranking staff specifically addressed the intake and screening process. All evidence reviewed, from training curricula to proof-of-practice documentation, indicated that the agency is fully compliant with this Standard.</p>
--	--

<b>115.151</b>	<b>Detainee reporting</b>
	<b>Auditor Overall Determination:</b> Meets Standard
	<p><b>Auditor Discussion</b></p> <p>The Standard requires that “(a) The agency shall provide multiple ways for detainees to privately report sexual abuse and sexual harassment, retaliation by other detainees or staff for reporting sexual abuse and sexual harassment, and staff neglect or violation of responsibilities that may have contributed to such incidents. (b) The agency shall also inform detainees of at least one way to report abuse or harassment to a public or private entity or office that is not part of the agency, and that is able to receive and immediately forward detainee reports of sexual abuse and sexual harassment to agency officials, allowing the detainee to remain anonymous upon request. (c) Staff shall accept reports made verbally, in writing, anonymously, and from third parties and promptly document any verbal reports. (d) The agency shall provide a method for staff to privately report sexual abuse and sexual harassment of detainees.” An option for external, potentially anonymous reporting is the Rhode Island Victims of Crime 24-Hour Helpline (800-494-8100) operated by the RI Coalition Against Domestic Violence. A Helpline supervisor said that they are required to immediately forward detainee reports of sexual abuse and</p>

	<p>sexual harassment to the PREA Coordinator, allowing the detainee to remain anonymous upon request. The Agency has also established these procedures for staff to privately report sexual abuse and sexual harassment of detainees.</p> <p>Analysis: Evidence used to determine compliance with this Standard includes interviews with troopers, two agency detainees, the PREA Coordinator, the Commanders of each of the Barracks, and Helpline staff. The On-Site review of the Barracks, observing intakes in two of the Barracks; tests of the reporting systems, and a review of the RISP Website, posted Zero-Tolerance Posters, RISP GO 52H (pages 6-11), and the MOU with Family Services of Rhode Island also assist in verifying compliance with this Standard.</p>
--	--

<b>115.154</b>	<b>Third-party reporting</b>
	<b>Auditor Overall Determination:</b> Meets Standard
	<b>Auditor Discussion</b>
	<p>This Standard requires that "The agency shall establish a method to receive third-party reports of sexual abuse and sexual harassment in its lockups and shall distribute publicly information on how to report sexual abuse and sexual harassment on behalf of a detainee." Postings are up in multiple places in each barracks, and the information useful for third-party reporting is on the agency website, which includes a way to report online. Policy clearly states that any staff member is required to take complaints and that complaints can be anonymous. Anyone can call the reporting line.</p> <p>Analysis: Evidence used to determine compliance with this Standard includes a review of policy (RISP GO 55H, page 9), a review of each of the Barracks, and a review of the publicly distributed information on how to report sexual abuse or sexual harassment on behalf of detainees, in the form of postings at the facilities and on the website. Additionally, the Auditor successfully tested the phone number (401-764-5615) used for reporting and the email reporting system accessible via the website. The Auditor sent 2 letters to the address provided to the public for reporting (Professional Standards Unit; 311 Danielson Pike; North Scituate, RI 02857) and received confirmation that the letters were received. The Auditor verified with staff at the Rhode Island Victims of Crime 24-Hour Helpline (1-800-494-8100) that the Helpline will accept third-party reports. Plus, interviews with detainees and staff verified the agency's compliance with this Standard.</p>

<b>115.161</b>	<b>Staff and agency reporting duties</b>
	<b>Auditor Overall Determination:</b> Meets Standard
	<b>Auditor Discussion</b>

This Standard requires that “(a) The agency shall require all staff to report immediately and according to agency policy any knowledge, suspicion, or information regarding an incident of sexual abuse or sexual harassment that occurred in an agency lockup; retaliation against detainees or staff who reported such an incident; and any staff neglect or violation of responsibilities that may have contributed to an incident or retaliation. (b) Apart from reporting to designated supervisors or officials, staff shall not reveal any information related to a sexual abuse report to anyone other than to the extent necessary, as specified in agency policy, to make treatment and investigation decisions. (c) If the alleged victim is under the age of 18 or considered a vulnerable adult under a State or local vulnerable persons statute, the agency shall report the allegation to the designated State or local services agency under applicable mandatory reporting laws. (d) The agency shall report all allegations of sexual abuse, including third-party and anonymous reports, to the agency’s designated investigators.” All provisions of this Standard are included in RISP policies.

Analysis: Evidence used to determine compliance with this Standard includes interviews with the Agency Head, PREA Coordinator, the Facility Commanders, and Troopers. Relevant policy found in RISP GO 52H, pages 5, 8-12. The Audit Team tested the reporting systems. Administrators with firsthand knowledge of how the RISP reporting systems function were interviewed. Even though their knowledge is regarding incidents unrelated to the sexual abuse of a detainee, they observe the reporting systems working reliably for the community served by RISP, and for internal matters as well. Additionally, interviews with lower-level troopers indicate that the nature of RISP culture and hierarchy leads to documentation and reporting conducted strictly according to policy and procedure. The Audit Team discovered a strong tendency among some troopers to prefer that reports be taken in writing, in person, or via the website, rather than over the phone. However, they understand that despite the ideal of being able to take a complete report that answers all the who, what, when, where, how questions, some reports may come in by phone by unidentifiable callers, and that some reporting persons may give incomplete information and may refuse or fail to appear in person to make a report as they are understandably required to do with most other crimes. This means that whatever a caller reports over the phone about an issue with the sexual safety of a detainee must stand as a report that must be documented and forwarded to PSO. The Audit Team has established that RISP and all its Barracks comply with this Standard.

<b>115.162</b>	<b>Agency protection duties</b>
	<b>Auditor Overall Determination:</b> Exceeds Standard
	<b>Auditor Discussion</b>
	This Standard simply states that "When an agency learns that a detainee is subject to a substantial risk of imminent sexual abuse, it shall take immediate action to protect the detainee." In RISP GO 52H, on page 8 (Section B), RISP policy spells out

exactly how this Standard is to be followed, "When the sworn member has reason to believe, based on information available, a detainee is vulnerable, or subject to a substantial risk of imminent sexual abuse, they shall: 1. Take immediate action to protect the detainee; 2. Advise supervisor, NCO, Patrol Commander, Assistant Patrol Commander, Night Executive Officer and note on CAD; 3. Separate, by sight and sound, from other detainees. If sight and sound separation is not possible at any of the Division facilities, the detainee shall be transported to RISP Headquarters cellblock holding area."

Analysis: Evidence used to determine compliance with this Standard includes interviews with the Agency Head, the PREA Coordinator, the Commander, and, with staff (troopers); relevant policy (quoted above) governing the agency's protection duties when detainees are subject to a substantial risk of imminent sexual abuse; and a documented example of the handling of a vulnerable detainee. The Standard is exceeded in the following ways: 1) The protections apply to all vulnerable detainees, not just to those who are subject to a substantial risk of imminent sexual abuse. 2) Clear and specific protections apply immediately when an officer has reason to believe a detainee is vulnerable. This does not rely on or wait for any consultation or fact-finding. 3) Sight and sound separation is provided from all other detainees, not just the ones determined to be threatening. This is not onerous to RISP detainees because they are typically not in RISP custody for more than a few hours or one night. Other policies make it clear that the protections would also apply if the alleged perpetrator were an employee. A triangulation of evidence shows that the agency and each of the Barracks significantly exceed the minimum requirements for compliance with this Standard.

115.163	Reporting to other confinement facilities
	<b>Auditor Overall Determination:</b> Meets Standard
	<b>Auditor Discussion</b>
	<p>The provisions of this Standard address allegations involving other facilities. "(a) Upon receiving an allegation that a detainee was sexually abused while confined at another facility, the head of the facility that received the allegation shall notify the head of the facility or appropriate office of the agency where the alleged abuse occurred. (b) Such notification shall be provided as soon as possible, but no later than 72 hours after receiving the allegation. (c) The agency shall document that it has provided such notification. (d) The facility head or agency office that receives such notification shall ensure that the allegation is investigated in accordance with these standards." RISP GO 52H, page 9, provides policy for the reporting of allegations made to RISP that a detainee was sexually abused while confined at another facility. The policy is written to accommodate the appropriate handling of the allegation, whether it concerns another RISP facility or a facility outside the RISP organization. Incoming allegations are to be handled in accordance with the PREA Standards.</p>

	<p>Analysis: Evidence used to determine compliance with this Standard includes RISP GO 52H (referenced above) and interviews with the Agency Head, the PREA Coordinator, and the Facility Commanders. Additionally, interviews with the head of RISP's SVU and other administrators confirm an ongoing practice of effective communication with other agencies, including correctional facilities. RISP has considerable experience doing this as the State's police agency. Excluding RISP's role as an outside reporting agency for RIDOC, there have been no allegations received about or from other facilities regarding the sexual abuse or sexual harassment of detainees or inmates. Ongoing policies and practices indicate that RISP complies with this Standard.</p>
--	---

<b>115.164</b>	<b>Staff first responder duties</b>
	<b>Auditor Overall Determination:</b> Exceeds Standard
	<b>Auditor Discussion</b>
	<p>The Standard requires that, “(a) Upon learning of an allegation that a detainee was sexually abused, the first law enforcement staff member to respond to the report shall be required to: (1) Separate the alleged victim and abuser; (2) Preserve and protect any crime scene until appropriate steps can be taken to collect any evidence; (3) If the abuse occurred within a time period that still allows for the collection of physical evidence, request that the alleged victim not take any actions that could destroy physical evidence, including, as appropriate, washing, brushing teeth, changing clothes, urinating, defecating, smoking, drinking, or eating; and (4) If the abuse occurred within a time period that still allows for the collection of physical evidence, ensure that the alleged abuser does not take any actions that could destroy physical evidence, including, as appropriate, washing, brushing teeth, changing clothes, urinating, defecating, smoking, drinking, or eating. (b) If the first staff responder is not a law enforcement staff member, the responder shall be required to request that the alleged victim not take any actions that could destroy physical evidence and then notify law enforcement staff.” Provision (b) of this Standard does not apply in practice because only law enforcement staff have access to detainees. RISP policy requires First Responders also to ensure that their superiors are aware of the incident and that notifications are made in accordance with Standard 115.361 above. In the past 12 months, there were no allegations; so, First Responder protocols were not utilized regarding any instance of sexual abuse.</p> <p>Analysis: Evidence used to determine compliance with this Standard includes interviews with 13 troopers (First Responders) and 13 administrators, investigators, and trainers. The Detective Lieutenant in charge of SVU was interviewed, as were several detectives in that unit. Everyone interviewed either had the First Responder Duties memorized or had them in hand during the visit with the Auditor, demonstrating that they can find and reference the duties when needed. All sworn officers are trained in these duties as part of their academy training, which includes investigator training. However, they understand that they will not investigate unless</p>

	<p>assigned to do so. They have a good understanding of the difference between their work and that of investigators and/or detectives who will take over the scene after First Responder Duties are performed. They understand the similarities and differences between being a first responder for sexual assault and for other crimes. Although none have experience responding to the sexual assault of an RISP detainee, many have extensive experience responding to sexual assault in other settings. The documentation review includes RISP GO 52H, pages 10, 11; RISP GO 53B, pages 2-7; RISP PREA Coordinated Response Plan; Rhode Island State Police Training Academy Lesson Plan: SVU Investigations; Rhode Island State Police Training Academy Lesson Plan: Domestic Violence &amp; Sexual Assault Law Enforcement Training; and documentation that training has been completed. RISP exceeds this Standard in the training, competence, and experience of First Responders and their superiors. Additionally, they ensure that full first responder protocols will be followed in every instance by prohibiting their detainees from having contact with any staff who are not fully trained, sworn law enforcement officers. A triangulation of evidence verifies compliance with this Standard to a significantly greater extent than the minimum requirements.</p>
--	--

<b>115.165</b>	<b>Coordinated response</b>
	<b>Auditor Overall Determination:</b> Meets Standard
	<p><b>Auditor Discussion</b></p> <p>The Standard states that “(a) The agency shall develop a written institutional plan to coordinate actions taken in response to a lockup incident of sexual abuse, among staff first responders, medical and mental health practitioners, investigators, and agency leadership. (b) If a victim is transferred from the lockup to a jail, prison, or medical facility, the agency shall, as permitted by law, inform the receiving facility of the incident and the victim’s potential need for medical or social services, unless the victim requests otherwise.” RISP has developed a written institutional plan, complete with instructions and phone numbers, to coordinate actions taken, among First Responders, any medical or mental health practitioners, Investigators, and facility leadership, in response to an incident of sexual abuse. Any receiving facility shall be notified of any known medical and social service needs of an alleged victim that is transferred, unless the victim requests otherwise. Page 16 of the PREA Policy states, “The Division’s PREA Staffing Plan and Coordinated Response Plan shall be displayed at Headquarters and at all barracks and made readily available to Division personnel.”</p> <p>Analysis: Evidence used to determine compliance with this Standard includes the RISP PREA Coordinated Response Plan, which was shown to the Audit Team by most of the Troopers who were interviewed; RISP GO 52H, Pages 12, 16, &amp; Appendix B; and interviews with troopers, the Commander, the PREA Coordinator, the Agency Head, and others. Also considered was the training associated with the plan, and evidence that the plan was understood, implemented, distributed, posted, and</p>

	regularly reviewed. A triangulation of evidence verifies full compliance with this Standard.
--	--

<b>115.166</b>	<b>Preservation of ability to protect detainees from contact with abusers</b>
	<b>Auditor Overall Determination:</b> Meets Standard
	<b>Auditor Discussion</b>
	<p>This Standard was established to address potential barriers to sexual safety while acknowledging workplace agreements. The provisions of the Standard are that “(a) Neither the agency nor any other governmental entity responsible for collective bargaining on the agency’s behalf shall enter into or renew any collective bargaining agreement or other agreement that limits the agency’s ability to remove alleged staff sexual abusers from contact with detainees pending the outcome of an investigation or of a determination of whether and to what extent discipline is warranted. (b) Nothing in this standard shall restrict the entering into or renewal of agreements that govern: (1) The conduct of the disciplinary process, as long as such agreements are not inconsistent with the provisions of §§ 115.172 and 115.176; or (2) Whether a no-contact assignment that is imposed pending the outcome of an investigation shall be expunged from or retained in the staff member’s personnel file following a determination that the allegation of sexual abuse is not substantiated.”</p> <p>Analysis: Evidence used to determine compliance with this Standard includes a review of RISP GO 52H, page 16; the Collective Bargaining Agreement Between the State of Rhode Island and the Rhode Island State Troopers Association - Nov. 1, 2020, through Oct. 31, 2023; and interviews with the Agency Head, PREA Coordinator, and Human Resources. A triangulation of evidence verifies compliance with this Standard.</p>

<b>115.167</b>	<b>Agency protection against retaliation</b>
	<b>Auditor Overall Determination:</b> Meets Standard
	<b>Auditor Discussion</b>
	<p>The Standard states that “(a) The agency shall establish a policy to protect all detainees and staff who report sexual abuse or sexual harassment or cooperate with sexual abuse or sexual harassment investigations from retaliation by other detainees or staff, and shall designate which staff members or departments are charged with monitoring retaliation. (b) The agency shall employ multiple protection measures, such as housing changes or transfers for detainee victims or abusers,</p>

removal of alleged staff or detainee abusers from contact with victims, and emotional support services for staff who fear retaliation for reporting sexual abuse or sexual harassment or for cooperating with investigations. (c) The agency shall monitor the conduct and treatment of detainees or staff who have reported sexual abuse and of detainees who were reported to have suffered sexual abuse, and shall act promptly to remedy any such retaliation. (d) If any other individual who cooperates with an investigation expresses a fear of retaliation, the agency shall take appropriate measures to protect that individual against retaliation. (e) An agency's obligation to monitor shall terminate if the agency determines that the allegation is unfounded." RISP's commitment to limit the time detainees remain in the Barracks to just a few hours, and never more than until the next business day, reduces opportunities for retaliation against detainees, although it can certainly occur.

Analysis: Evidence used to determine compliance with this Standard includes interviews with the Agency Head, the PREA Coordinator, the Commanders, and Human Resources; and RISP GO 52H, pages 8-10, and 12. The PAQ and interviews indicate that the PREA Coordinator and Professional Standards are responsible for monitoring retaliation at each Barracks and for designating Barracks staff based on the incident. They understand that this is an ongoing process, and it can occur regarding incidents other than just allegations of sexual abuse and sexual harassment. They also understand that an agency with such a good record of excellence can be at risk of complacency and of assuming that everyone is following the rules. Reminders about reporting and monitoring for retaliation are brought up regularly in training and are included in postings in the Barracks and on the website page about PREA. Additionally, the interview with HR made clear that HR is active and alert to the possibility of retaliation and is included in monitoring processes in their roles, particularly regarding decisions made about staff members, and in collaboration with Professional Standards. A triangulation of evidence verifies compliance with this Standard.

<b>115.171</b>	<b>Criminal and administrative agency investigations</b>
	<b>Auditor Overall Determination:</b> Meets Standard
	<p><b>Auditor Discussion</b></p> <p>This Standard lays out minimum requirements for the investigation of sexual abuse and sexual harassment of detainees: "(a) When the agency conducts its own investigations into allegations of sexual abuse and sexual harassment, it shall do so promptly, thoroughly, and objectively for all allegations, including third-party and anonymous reports. (b) Where sexual abuse is alleged, the agency shall use investigators who have received special training in sexual abuse investigations pursuant to § 115.134. (c) Investigators shall gather and preserve direct and circumstantial evidence, including any available physical and DNA evidence and any available electronic monitoring data; shall interview alleged victims, suspected</p>

	<p>perpetrators, and witnesses; and shall review prior complaints and reports of sexual abuse involving the suspected perpetrator. (d) When the quality of evidence appears to support criminal prosecution, the agency shall conduct compelled interviews only after consulting with prosecutors as to whether compelled interviews may be an obstacle for subsequent criminal prosecution. (e) The credibility of an alleged victim, suspect, or witness shall be assessed on an individual basis and shall not be determined by the person’s status as detainee or staff. No agency shall require a detainee who alleges sexual abuse to submit to a polygraph examination or other truth-telling device as a condition for proceeding with the investigation of such an allegation. (f) Administrative investigations: (1) Shall include an effort to determine whether staff actions or failures to act contributed to the abuse; and (2) Shall be documented in written reports that include a description of the physical and testimonial evidence, the reasoning behind credibility assessments, and investigative facts and findings. (g) Criminal investigations shall be documented in a written report that contains a thorough description of physical, testimonial, and documentary evidence and attaches copies of all documentary evidence where feasible. (h) Substantiated allegations of conduct that appears to be criminal shall be referred for prosecution. (i) The agency shall retain all written reports referenced in paragraphs (f) and (g) of this section for as long as the alleged abuser is incarcerated or employed by the agency, plus five years. (j) The departure of the alleged abuser or victim from the employment or control of the lockup or agency shall not provide a basis for terminating an investigation. (k) Any State entity or Department of Justice component that conducts such investigations shall do so pursuant to the above requirements. (l) When outside agencies investigate sexual abuse, the agency shall cooperate with outside investigators and shall endeavor to remain informed about the progress of the investigation.” In its role as the State’s police agency, RISP operates a crime lab and several investigative units that support, and do not undermine, the minimum provisions of the PREA Standards.</p> <p>Analysis: Evidence used to determine compliance with this Standard includes: Interviews with investigative staff (both administrative and criminal), with the Facility Commander, and with the PREA Coordinator; RISP GO 52H, pages 2, 3, &amp; 9-13; RISP GO 77A Criminal Investigations; RISP GO 77B Major Crimes; RISP GO 3C Internal Investigation Procedure; training curriculum; training records for Investigators and RISP Sworn Member Rules and Regulations, pages 4 &amp; 5. A triangulation of evidence verifies compliance with this Standard.</p>
--	---

<b>115.172</b>	<b>Evidentiary standard for administrative investigations</b>
	<b>Auditor Overall Determination:</b> Meets Standard
	<b>Auditor Discussion</b>
	This Standard, in its entirety, says, “The agency shall impose no standard higher than a preponderance of the evidence in determining whether allegations of sexual

	<p>abuse or sexual harassment are substantiated.” This is in their PREA policy verbatim: “Evidentiary Standard for Administrative Investigations - The Division shall impose no standard higher than a preponderance of the evidence in determining whether allegations of sexual abuse or sexual harassment are substantiated.”</p> <p>Analysis: Evidence used to determine compliance with this Standard includes interviews with investigative staff, criminal investigators, trainers, and the PREA coordinator; and RISP GO 52H, page 12, quoted above. Interviews indicate that although they have not had investigations specifically regarding the sexual abuse or sexual harassment of any of their detainees, the agency regularly does both administrative and criminal investigations, in a context of review and accountability, and knows the difference. Interviewees were eloquent in describing the preponderance of evidence. A triangulation of evidence verifies compliance with this Standard.</p>
--	---

<b>115.176</b>	<b>Disciplinary sanctions for staff</b>
	<b>Auditor Overall Determination:</b> Meets Standard
	<b>Auditor Discussion</b>
	<p>This Standard requires that “(a) Staff shall be subject to disciplinary sanctions up to and including termination for violating agency sexual abuse or sexual harassment policies. (b) Termination shall be the presumptive disciplinary sanction for staff who have engaged in sexual abuse. (c) Disciplinary sanctions for violations of agency policies relating to sexual abuse or sexual harassment (other than actually engaging in sexual abuse) shall be commensurate with the nature and circumstances of the acts committed, the staff member’s disciplinary history, and the sanctions imposed for comparable offenses by other staff with similar histories. (d) All terminations for violations of agency sexual abuse or sexual harassment policies, or resignations by staff who would have been terminated if not for their resignation, shall be reported to law enforcement agencies, unless the activity was clearly not criminal, and to any relevant licensing bodies.” Numerous RISP policies support this Standard. RISP GO 3C Page 7 requires “The prompt and appropriate investigation and adjudication (if instructed by the Superintendent) of any and all allegations or complaints of employee misconduct to ensure the integrity of the agency and its members.” Page 9 provides some contexts for when officers may be disciplined, trained, or counseled, and how these actions are tracked. Training and counseling are not considered disciplinary sanctions. Additionally, RISP GO 3C1, pages 2-4, provides the Disciplinary Matrix, which includes dismissal for crimes causing injury. RISP GO 52H, page 13, states that “Termination shall be the presumptive disciplinary sanction for members who have engaged in sexual abuse.” That policy also includes wording consistent with all provisions of this Standard.</p> <p>Analysis: Evidence used to determine compliance with this Standard includes a review of hiring and training materials, and policies: RISP GO 1A (Law Enforcement</p>

	<p>Role &amp; Authority, including the Code of Ethics); RISP GO 3C, pages 7-9; RISP GO 52H, page 13; and RISP GO 3C1, pages 2-4. Additionally, interviews were conducted with Human Resources staff, investigators (criminal and internal/administrative), the PREA Coordinator, the Commanders, and Trainers. Most of these individuals have firsthand knowledge of how RISP has sanctioned employees (known as “Members”) for various violations. In their administrative roles, some of the interviewees have also directly observed how cases of alleged violations of the agency's sexual abuse and sexual harassment policies have been handled. Since there have been no allegations of sexual abuse or sexual harassment against detainees, there was no proof of practice documentation regarding sanctions stemming from sexual misconduct against detainees. Nevertheless, the Auditor was able to consider a triangulation of evidence consistent with compliance with this Standard, with no evidence to the contrary.</p>
--	---

115.177	Corrective action for contractors and volunteers
	<p><b>Auditor Overall Determination:</b> Meets Standard</p>
	<p><b>Auditor Discussion</b></p> <p>The Standard requires that “(a) Any contractor or volunteer who engages in sexual abuse shall be prohibited from contact with detainees and shall be reported to law enforcement agencies, unless the activity was clearly not criminal, and to relevant licensing bodies. (b) The facility shall take appropriate remedial measures, and shall consider whether to prohibit further contact with detainees, in the case of any other violation of agency sexual abuse or sexual harassment policies by a contractor or volunteer.” RISP does not utilize volunteers and has no contractors who have contact with detainees. Yet the RISP PREA policy definitions include contractors (RISP GO 55H, pages 3 &amp; 4) as those who might be abusers. In addition to it being a violation of policy, the PREA Standards would apply if a contractor somehow made contact with a detainee. RISP GO 52H (page 6) states that no outside agency personnel or contractors are allowed in any Division cellblock when occupied by a detainee. No violations are known.</p> <p>Analysis: Evidence used to determine compliance with this Standard includes interviews, policies, and documentation verifying that this Standard is not applicable at this time due to the lack of any volunteers and contractors who have contact with detainees. When a Standard does not apply, there are no violations, and there is no contradictory information, the facility is considered compliant.</p>

115.178	Referral for prosecution for detainee-on-detainee sexual abuse
	<p><b>Auditor Overall Determination:</b> Meets Standard</p>
	<p><b>Auditor Discussion</b></p>

	<p>The Standard states that "(a) When there is probable cause to believe that a detainee sexually abused another detainee in a lockup, the agency shall refer the matter to the appropriate prosecuting authority...." Although no information was known during this audit to indicate there have been any incidents of detainee-on-detainee sexual abuse, all indications are that when there is probable cause to believe a detainee sexually abused another detainee, the agency refers the matter to investigators and prosecutors.</p> <p>Analysis: Evidence used to determine compliance with this Standard includes interviews with the Commanders, the PREA Coordinator, investigators, and troopers. Also reviewed were PREA policies and training. Policies are found in numerous places, including RISP GO 55H and RISP GO 77A. The RISP 2025 Annual Report states that 269 crimes against persons were investigated. Many of these led to prosecutions and convictions. Some of these applied to this Standard, although not at RISP facilities. The Annual Report states on page 16, "The ACI Investigations Unit is comprised of one (1) Lieutenant and two (2) detectives. The unit's core mission is to thoroughly investigate all complaints made by both prison employees and incarcerated inmates. These detectives collect criminal information from prisoner investigators at the Department of Corrections, which they share with other law enforcement agencies to bolster officer safety. Detectives assigned to the ACI Investigations Unit are also responsible for the prosecution of all inmates who are fugitives from other states. These detectives also handle offenders in the custody of the Department of Children, Youth, and Families (DCYF) at the Rhode Island Youth Detention Center, as well as aiding the investigative unit of the Wyatt Detention Center when requested. During the calendar year of 2025, members of the ACI Investigations Unit were responsible for two-hundred and five (205) arrests and investigated one hundred and eighty (180) incidents. These cases included, but were not limited to, assaults against correctional officers, conveyance of unauthorized articles, fugitives from justice, violation of domestic no-contact orders, and sexual assaults." Interviews with members of the Forensic Services Unit, Major Crimes, and the Special Victims Unit provided firsthand information and observations consistent with this Standard.</p>
--	--

<b>115.182</b>	<b>Access to emergency medical and mental health services</b>
	<b>Auditor Overall Determination:</b> Meets Standard
	<b>Auditor Discussion</b>
	<p>The Standard requires that "(a) Detainee victims of sexual abuse in lockups shall receive timely, unimpeded access to emergency medical treatment. (b) Treatment services shall be provided to the victim without financial cost and regardless of whether the victim names the abuser or cooperates with any investigation arising out of the incident." Serving, as it does, as the agency that also investigates sexual abuse in the community, RISP has full access to full medical treatment, including emergency services in Providence, for its detainees. Interviews with RISP</p>

	<p>administrators and troopers, and community providers, verify that there would be no charge and that the victim would not have to name the perpetrator or cooperate in investigations or prosecutions.</p> <p>Analysis: Evidence used to determine compliance with this Standard includes interviews with RISP administrators and troopers, community providers, and a review of policies and procedures regarding access to treatment services by detainee victims of sexual abuse, found in RISP GO 52H, page 11, and other sources of information, such as First Responder Duties, the Coordinated Response Plan, and training. A triangulation of evidence shows compliance with this Standard.</p>
--	---

<b>115.186</b>	<b>Sexual abuse incident reviews</b>
	<p><b>Auditor Overall Determination:</b> Meets Standard</p>
	<p><b>Auditor Discussion</b></p> <p>This Standard says that “(a) The lockup shall conduct a sexual abuse incident review at the conclusion of every sexual abuse investigation, including where the allegation has not been substantiated, unless the allegation has been determined to be unfounded. (b) Such review shall ordinarily occur within 30 days of the conclusion of the investigation. (c) The review team shall include upper-level management officials, with input from line supervisors and investigators. (d) The review team shall: (1) Consider whether the allegation or investigation indicates a need to change policy or practice to better prevent, detect, or respond to sexual abuse; (2) Consider whether the incident or allegation was motivated by race; ethnicity; gender identity; lesbian, gay, bisexual, transgender, or intersex identification, status, or perceived status; or gang affiliation; or was motivated or otherwise caused by other group dynamics at the lockup; (3) Examine the area in the lockup where the incident allegedly occurred to assess whether physical barriers in the area may enable abuse; (4) Assess the adequacy of staffing levels in that area during different shifts; (5) Assess whether monitoring technology should be deployed or augmented to supplement supervision by staff; and (6) Prepare a report of its findings, including but not necessarily limited to determinations made pursuant to paragraphs (d)(1) through (d)(5) of this section, and any recommendations for improvement and submit such report to the lockup head and agency PREA coordinator. (e) The lockup shall implement the recommendations for improvement, or shall document its reasons for not doing so.” Although there have been no investigations regarding sexual abuse of detainees in RISP barracks, these kinds of after-action reports are commonplace in the agency. At least 9 of the interviews conducted for the audit were with individuals who would likely be included in sexual abuse incident reviews and support these processes. They explained that their inclusion on the PREA Incident Review Team would depend on the nature of the allegation and on whether they had knowledge or expertise useful to the incident review. They also explained that any substantiated or unsubstantiated allegation of sexual abuse, and even of sexual harassment, would</p>

	<p>trigger a major review because these behaviors are so far outside the bounds of what the culture and policies of the agency tolerate. They do not believe any inappropriate behavior or language toward a detainee would be considered minor. The wording of RISP policy is consistent with all the provisions of this Standard. The review team would at least include appropriate command staff members (Detective, District Commander), Major - Administrative Bureau Commander, and Legal Counsel, along with line supervisors and investigators.</p> <p>Analysis: Evidence used to determine compliance with this Standard includes interviews with the Superintendent, Commanders of each of the Barracks, the PREA Coordinator, and numerous other potential members of the Incident Review Team regarding their experiences, views, and observations; and policies on conducting sexual abuse Incident Reviews found in RISP GO 52H, pages 13-16. The agency has demonstrated compliance with this Standard.</p>
--	---

<b>115.187</b>	<b>Data collection</b>
	<b>Auditor Overall Determination:</b> Meets Standard
	<b>Auditor Discussion</b>
	<p>The Standard says that “(a) The agency shall collect accurate, uniform data for every allegation of sexual abuse at lockups under its direct control using a standardized instrument and set of definitions. (b) The agency shall aggregate the incident-based sexual abuse data at least annually. (c) The incident-based data collected shall include, at a minimum, the data necessary to answer all questions from the most recent version of the Local Jail Jurisdictions Survey of Sexual Violence conducted by the Department of Justice, or any subsequent form developed by the Department of Justice and designated for lockups. (d) The agency shall maintain, review, and collect data as needed from all available incident-based documents, including reports, investigation files, and sexual abuse incident reviews....” Although there have been no allegations regarding sexual abuse or sexual harassment of RISP detainees in its lockups, RISP does collect data regarding its work, as evidenced in the 33-page 2025 Annual Report and the reports from previous years. Each unit and barracks tracks its data and provides it to the RISP Superintendent for annual reporting required by Rhode Island General Law. Although their numbers are all zeros, year after year, the data about every allegation of sexual abuse in the lockups is also provided and publicly reported through the report entitled the Annual Review of Holding Facilities.</p> <p>Analysis: Evidence used to determine compliance with this Standard includes: Interviews with the Superintendent and other administrators, the policy regarding sexual abuse data collection (RISP GO 52H, pages 13-16; and RISP GO 4C, pages 1-4), the set of definitions used for collecting data on sexual abuse allegations at facilities, and the data collection instrument used for collecting data on sexual abuse allegations at facilities. A triangulation of evidence verifies compliance with this Standard.</p>

<b>115.188</b>	<b>Data review for corrective action</b>
	<b>Auditor Overall Determination:</b> Meets Standard
	<p data-bbox="280 264 564 297"><b>Auditor Discussion</b></p> <p data-bbox="280 338 1461 875">The Standard requires that "(a) The agency shall review data collected and aggregated pursuant to § 115.187 in order to assess and improve the effectiveness of its sexual abuse prevention, detection, and response policies, practices, and training, including: (1) Identifying problem areas; (2) Taking corrective action on an ongoing basis; and (3) Preparing an annual report of its findings and corrective actions for each lockup, as well as the agency as a whole. (b) Such report shall include a comparison of the current year's data and corrective actions with those from prior years and shall provide an assessment of the agency's progress in addressing sexual abuse. (c) The agency's report shall be approved by the agency head and made readily available to the public through its website or, if it does not have one, through other means. (d) The agency may redact specific material from the reports when publication would present a clear and specific threat to the safety and security of a lockup, but must indicate the nature of the material redacted."</p> <p data-bbox="280 882 1481 1249">With no findings of inadequacy, the agency documents ongoing efforts to maintain their high standards through inspections, Commission on Accreditation for Law Enforcement Agencies (CALEA) compliance &amp; accreditation, training, staffing, audits, and plans for new barracks. Specifically reviewed are measures regarding potentially vulnerable detainees, sight and sound separation, detainee searches, and audio/visual monitoring systems to ensure detainee privacy while allowing for adequate supervision. Of particular importance to RISP's success in avoiding victimization is its commitment to limiting the time detainees spend in their facilities.</p> <p data-bbox="280 1290 1477 1574">Analysis: Evidence used to determine compliance with this Standard includes interviews with the Agency Head, PREA Coordinator and other administrators; policy found in GO 52H, pages 13-16; RISP GO 52D; RISP GO 52C; RISP Inter-Departmental Communication: Annual Review of Temporary Detention Rooms - CY 2024; and the Annual Reports available at <a href="https://risp.ri.gov/forms/index.php">https://risp.ri.gov/forms/index.php</a> or <a href="https://risp.ri.gov/public-information">https://risp.ri.gov/public-information</a> under the informational material tab. A triangulation of evidence verifies compliance with this Standard.</p>

<b>115.189</b>	<b>Data storage, publication, and destruction</b>
	<b>Auditor Overall Determination:</b> Meets Standard
	<p data-bbox="280 1859 564 1892"><b>Auditor Discussion</b></p> <p data-bbox="280 1933 1473 2056">The Standard requires that "(a) The agency shall ensure that data collected pursuant to § 115.187 are securely retained. (b) The agency shall make all aggregated sexual abuse data, from lockups under its direct control and any private</p>

	<p>agencies with which it contracts, readily available to the public at least annually through its website or, if it does not have one, through other means. (c) Before making aggregated sexual abuse data publicly available, the agency shall remove all personal identifiers. (d) The agency shall maintain sexual abuse data collected pursuant to § 115.187 for at least 10 years after the date of the initial collection unless Federal, State, or local law requires otherwise.” There have been no allegations of sexual abuse or sexual harassment of detainees in any of the barracks. Still, the agency has a significant amount of aggregated sexual abuse data because of other types of reports they receive and investigations they conduct. They publish the Rhode Island State Police Annual Report as well as the report required for this Standard, which they call the Annual Review of Holding Facilities.</p> <p>Analysis: Evidence used to determine compliance with this Standard includes interviews with the PREA Coordinator and other administrators; policy found in GO 52H, pages 13-16; and the Annual Reports available at <a href="https://risp.ri.gov/forms/index.php">https://risp.ri.gov/forms/index.php</a> or <a href="https://risp.ri.gov/public-information">https://risp.ri.gov/public-information</a> under the informational material tab. A triangulation of evidence verifies compliance with this Standard.</p>
--	---

<b>115.401</b>	<b>Frequency and scope of audits</b>
	<p><b>Auditor Overall Determination:</b> Meets Standard</p> <p><b>Auditor Discussion</b></p> <p>All four RISP facilities were audited during the first year of the audit cycle. Small lockups, such as those operated by RISP, pose unique challenges to the provision that 1/3 of all facilities be audited each year of the audit cycle. Standard 115.401 (b) states, "During each one-year period starting on August 20, 2013, the agency shall ensure that at least one-third of each facility type operated by the agency, or by a private organization on behalf of the agency, is audited." The PREA Standards and the PREA Auditor Handbook stress the importance of interviewing detainees. Most days, most of the Barracks have no detainees. Detainees are typically released very quickly, or the first thing the following morning, making voluntary audit interviews difficult to obtain. For this reason, and due to the difficulty of finding available PREA Auditors, it was decided that all 4 Barracks would be audited sequentially during the first year of the audit cycle. Technically, this is "at least one-third." This allowed the Audit Team to interview all agency detainees who came in during that time, regardless of which of the barracks they were processed through, provided the detainee agreed to be interviewed.</p> <p>Analysis: The Agency ensures that each lockup is audited at least once every 3 years in compliance with this Standard.</p>

<b>115.403</b>	<b>Audit contents and findings</b>
----------------	------------------------------------

	<b>Auditor Overall Determination:</b> Meets Standard
	<b>Auditor Discussion</b>
	<p>The Agency ensures that PREA Audit Final Reports are published on the Agency's website.</p> <p>These and other reports are available at <a href="https://risp.ri.gov/forms/index.php">https://risp.ri.gov/forms/index.php</a> or <a href="https://risp.ri.gov/public-information">https://risp.ri.gov/public-information</a> under the informational material tab.</p>

<b>Appendix: Provision Findings</b>		
<b>115.111 (a)</b>	<b>Zero tolerance of sexual abuse and sexual harassment</b>	
	Does the agency have a written policy mandating zero tolerance toward all forms of sexual abuse and sexual harassment?	yes
	Does the written policy outline the agency's approach to preventing, detecting, and responding to sexual abuse and sexual harassment?	yes
<b>115.111 (b)</b>	<b>Zero tolerance of sexual abuse and sexual harassment</b>	
	Has the agency employed or designated an agency-wide PREA Coordinator?	yes
	Is the PREA Coordinator position in the upper-level of the agency hierarchy?	yes
	Does the PREA Coordinator have sufficient time and authority to develop, implement, and oversee agency efforts to comply with the PREA standards in all of its lockups?	yes
<b>115.112 (a)</b>	<b>Contracting with other entities for the confinement of detainees</b>	
	If this agency is law enforcement and it contracts for the confinement of its lockup detainees in lockups operated by private agencies or other entities, including other government agencies, has the agency included the entity's obligation to adopt and comply with the PREA standards in any new contract or contract renewal signed on or after August 20, 2012? (N/A if the law enforcement agency does not contract with private agencies or other entities for the confinement of detainees.)	na
<b>115.112 (b)</b>	<b>Contracting with other entities for the confinement of detainees</b>	
	Does any new contract or contract renewal signed on or after August 20, 2012 provide for agency contract monitoring to ensure that the contractor is complying with the PREA standards? (N/A if the law enforcement agency does not contract with private agencies or other entities for the confinement of detainees OR the response to 115.112(a)-1 is "NO".)	na
<b>115.113 (a)</b>	<b>Supervision and monitoring</b>	

	Does the agency ensure that it has developed for each lockup a staffing plan that provides for adequate levels of staffing and, where applicable, video monitoring, to protect detainees against sexual abuse?	yes
	Does the agency ensure that it has documented for each lockup a staffing plan that provides for adequate levels of staffing and, where applicable, video monitoring, to protect detainees against sexual abuse?	yes
	Does the agency ensure that it takes into consideration the 4 criteria below in calculating adequate staffing levels and determining the need for video monitoring: The physical layout of each lockup?	yes
	Does the agency ensure that it takes into consideration the 4 criteria below in calculating adequate staffing levels and determining the need for video monitoring: The composition of the detainee population?	yes
	Does the agency ensure that it takes into consideration the 4 criteria below in calculating adequate staffing levels and determining the need for video monitoring: The prevalence of substantiated and unsubstantiated incidents of sexual abuse?	yes
	Does the agency ensure that it takes into consideration the 4 criteria below in calculating adequate staffing levels and determining the need for video monitoring: Any other relevant factors?	yes
<b>115.113 (b)</b>	<b>Supervision and monitoring</b>	
	In circumstances where the staffing plan is not complied with, does the lockup document and justify all deviations from the plan? (N/A if no deviations from staffing plan.)	na
<b>115.113 (c)</b>	<b>Supervision and monitoring</b>	
	In the past 12 months, has the lockup assessed, determined, and documented whether adjustments are needed to: 1. The staffing plan established pursuant to paragraph (a) of this section?	yes
	In the past 12 months, has the lockup assessed, determined, and documented whether adjustments are needed to: Prevailing staffing patterns?	yes
	In the past 12 months, has the lockup assessed, determined, and documented whether adjustments are needed to: The lockup's	yes

	deployment of video monitoring systems and other monitoring technologies?	
	In the past 12 months, has the lockup assessed, determined, and documented whether adjustments are needed to: The resources the lockup has available to commit to ensure adequate staffing levels?	yes
<b>115.113 (d)</b>	<b>Supervision and monitoring</b>	
	If vulnerable detainees are identified pursuant to the screening required by § 115.141, does security staff provide such detainees with heightened protection, to include: Continuous direct sight and sound supervision?	yes
	If vulnerable detainees are identified pursuant to the screening required by § 115.141, does security staff provide such detainees with heightened protection, to include: Single-cell housing or placement in a cell actively monitored on video by a staff member sufficiently proximate to intervene, unless no such option is determined to be feasible?	yes
<b>115.114 (a)</b>	<b>Juveniles and youthful detainees</b>	
	Are juveniles and youthful detainees held separately from adult detainees? (N/A if the facility does not hold juveniles or youthful detainees (detainees <18 years old).)	yes
<b>115.115 (a)</b>	<b>Limits to cross-gender viewing and searches</b>	
	Does the lockup always refrain from conducting any cross-gender strip searches or cross-gender visual body cavity searches, except in exigent circumstances or by medical practitioners?	yes
<b>115.115 (b)</b>	<b>Limits to cross-gender viewing and searches</b>	
	Does the lockup document all cross-gender strip searches and cross-gender visual body cavity searches?	yes
<b>115.115 (c)</b>	<b>Limits to cross-gender viewing and searches</b>	
	Does the lockup implement policies and procedures that enable detainees to shower, perform bodily functions, and change clothing without nonmedical staff of the opposite gender viewing their breasts, buttocks, or genitalia, except in exigent	yes

	circumstances or when such viewing is incidental to routine cell checks?	
	Does the lockup require staff of the opposite gender to announce their presence when entering an area where detainees are likely to be showering, performing bodily functions, or changing clothing?	yes
<b>115.115 (d)</b>	<b>Limits to cross-gender viewing and searches</b>	
	This provision is no longer applicable to your compliance finding, please select N/A.	na
<b>115.115 (e)</b>	<b>Limits to cross-gender viewing and searches</b>	
	This provision is no longer applicable to your compliance finding, please select N/A.	na
<b>115.116 (a)</b>	<b>Detainees with disabilities and detainees who are limited English proficient</b>	
	Does the agency take appropriate steps to ensure that detainees with disabilities have an equal opportunity to participate in or benefit from all aspects of the agency's efforts to prevent, detect, and respond to sexual abuse and sexual harassment, including: Detainees who are deaf or hard of hearing?	yes
	Does the agency take appropriate steps to ensure that detainees with disabilities have an equal opportunity to participate in or benefit from all aspects of the agency's efforts to prevent, detect, and respond to sexual abuse and sexual harassment, including: Detainees who are blind or have low vision?	yes
	Does the agency take appropriate steps to ensure that detainees with disabilities have an equal opportunity to participate in or benefit from all aspects of the agency's efforts to prevent, detect, and respond to sexual abuse and sexual harassment, including: Detainees who have intellectual disabilities?	yes
	Does the agency take appropriate steps to ensure that detainees with disabilities have an equal opportunity to participate in or benefit from all aspects of the agency's efforts to prevent, detect, and respond to sexual abuse and sexual harassment, including: Detainees who have psychiatric disabilities?	yes
	Does the agency take appropriate steps to ensure that detainees with disabilities have an equal opportunity to participate in or	yes

	benefit from all aspects of the agency's efforts to prevent, detect, and respond to sexual abuse and sexual harassment, including: Detainees who have speech disabilities?	
	Does the agency take appropriate steps to ensure that detainees with disabilities have an equal opportunity to participate in or benefit from all aspects of the agency's efforts to prevent, detect, and respond to sexual abuse and sexual harassment, including: Other? (if "other," please explain in the overall determination notes.)	yes
	Do such steps include, when necessary, ensuring effective communication with detainees who are deaf or hard of hearing?	yes
	Do such steps include, when necessary, providing access to interpreters who can interpret effectively, accurately, and impartially, both receptively and expressively, using any necessary specialized vocabulary?	yes
	Does the agency ensure that written materials are provided in formats or through methods that ensure effective communication with detainees with disabilities including detainees who: Have intellectual disabilities?	yes
	Does the agency ensure that written materials are provided in formats or through methods that ensure effective communication with detainees with disabilities including detainees who: Have limited reading skills?	yes
	Does the agency ensure that written materials are provided in formats or through methods that ensure effective communication with detainees with disabilities including detainees who: are blind or have low vision?	yes
<b>115.116 (b)</b>	<b>Detainees with disabilities and detainees who are limited English proficient</b>	
	Does the agency take reasonable steps to ensure meaningful access to all aspects of the agency's efforts to prevent, detect, and respond to sexual abuse and sexual harassment to detainees who are limited English proficient?	yes
	Do these steps include providing interpreters who can interpret effectively, accurately, and impartially, both receptively and expressively, using any necessary specialized vocabulary?	yes
<b>115.116 (c)</b>	<b>Detainees with disabilities and detainees who are limited English proficient</b>	
	Does the agency always refrain from relying on detainee	yes

	interpreters, detainee readers, or other types of detainee assistants except in limited circumstances where an extended delay in obtaining an effective interpreter could compromise the detainee's safety, the performance of first-response duties under §115.164, or the investigation of the detainee's allegations?	
<b>115.117 (a)</b>	<b>Hiring and promotion decisions</b>	
	Does the agency prohibit the hiring or promotion of anyone who may have contact with detainees who: Has engaged in sexual abuse in a prison, jail, lockup, community confinement facility, juvenile facility, or other institution (as defined in 42 U.S.C. 1997)?	yes
	Does the agency prohibit the hiring or promotion of anyone who may have contact with detainees who: Has been convicted of engaging or attempting to engage in sexual activity in the community facilitated by force, overt or implied threats of force, or coercion, or if the victim did not consent or was unable to consent or refuse?	yes
	Does the agency prohibit the hiring or promotion of anyone who may have contact with detainees who: Has been civilly or administratively adjudicated to have engaged in the activity described in the bullet immediately above?	yes
	Does the agency prohibit the enlistment of services of any contractor who may have contact with detainees who: o Has engaged in sexual abuse in a prison, jail, lockup, community confinement facility, juvenile facility, or other institution (as defined in 42 U.S.C. 1997)?	yes
	Does the agency prohibit the enlistment of services of any contractor who may have contact with detainees who: Has been convicted of engaging or attempting to engage in sexual activity in the community facilitated by force, overt or implied threats of force, or coercion, or if the victim did not consent or was unable to consent or refuse?	yes
	Does the agency prohibit the enlistment of services of any contractor who may have contact with detainees who: Has been civilly or administratively adjudicated to have engaged in the activity described in the bullet immediately above?	yes
<b>115.117 (b)</b>	<b>Hiring and promotion decisions</b>	
	Does the agency consider any incidents of sexual harassment in determining whether to hire or promote anyone, or to enlist the	yes

	services of any contractor, who may have contact with detainees?	
<b>115.117 (c)</b>	<b>Hiring and promotion decisions</b>	
	Before hiring new employees who may have contact with detainees, does the agency: Perform a criminal background records check?	yes
	Before hiring new employees who may have contact with detainees, does the agency: Consistent with Federal, State, and local law, make its best efforts to contact all prior institutional employers for information on substantiated allegations of sexual abuse or any resignation during a pending investigation of an allegation of sexual abuse?	yes
<b>115.117 (d)</b>	<b>Hiring and promotion decisions</b>	
	Does the agency perform a criminal background records check before enlisting the services of any contractor who may have contact with detainees?	yes
<b>115.117 (e)</b>	<b>Hiring and promotion decisions</b>	
	Does the agency either conduct criminal background records checks at least every five years of current employees and contractors who may have contact with detainees or have in place a system for otherwise capturing such information for current employees?	yes
<b>115.117 (f)</b>	<b>Hiring and promotion decisions</b>	
	Does the agency ask all applicants and employees who may have contact with detainees directly about previous misconduct described in paragraph (a) of this section in written applications or interviews for hiring or promotions?	yes
	Does the agency ask all applicants and employees who may have contact with detainees directly about previous misconduct described in paragraph (a) of this section in any interviews or written self-evaluations conducted as part of reviews of current employees?	yes
	Does the agency impose upon employees a continuing affirmative duty to disclose any such misconduct?	yes
<b>115.117</b>	<b>Hiring and promotion decisions</b>	

<b>(g)</b>		
	Does the agency consider material omissions regarding such misconduct, or the provision of materially false information, grounds for termination?	yes
<b>115.117 (h)</b>	<b>Hiring and promotion decisions</b>	
	Unless prohibited by law, does the agency provide information on substantiated allegations of sexual abuse or sexual harassment involving a former employee upon receiving a request from an institutional employer for whom such employee has applied to work? (N/A if providing information on substantiated allegations of sexual abuse or sexual harassment involving a former employee is prohibited by law. )	yes
<b>115.118 (a)</b>	<b>Upgrades to facilities and technologies</b>	
	If the agency designed or acquired any new lockup or planned any substantial expansion or modification of existing lockups, did the agency consider the effect of the design, acquisition, expansion, or modification upon the agency's ability to protect detainees from sexual abuse? (N/A if agency/facility has not acquired a new facility or made a substantial expansion to existing facilities since August 20, 2012, or since the last PREA audit, whichever is later.)	na
<b>115.118 (b)</b>	<b>Upgrades to facilities and technologies</b>	
	If the agency installed or updated a video monitoring system, electronic surveillance system, or other monitoring technology, did the agency consider how such technology may enhance the agency's ability to protect detainees from sexual abuse? (N/A if agency/facility has not installed or updated a video monitoring system, electronic surveillance system, or other monitoring technology since August 20, 2012, or since the last PREA audit, whichever is later.)	na
<b>115.121 (a)</b>	<b>Evidence protocol and forensic medical examinations</b>	
	If the agency is responsible for investigating allegations of sexual abuse in its lockups, does the agency follow a uniform evidence protocol that maximizes the potential for obtaining usable physical evidence for administrative proceedings and criminal prosecutions? (N/A if the agency/facility is not responsible for	yes

	conducting any form of criminal OR administrative sexual abuse investigations.)	
<b>115.121 (b)</b>	<b>Evidence protocol and forensic medical examinations</b>	
	Is this protocol developmentally appropriate for youth where applicable? (N/A if the agency/facility is not responsible for conducting any form of criminal OR administrative sexual abuse investigations.)	yes
	Is this protocol, as appropriate, adapted from or otherwise based on the most recent edition of the U.S. Department of Justice's Office on Violence Against Women publication, "A National Protocol for Sexual Assault Medical Forensic Examinations, Adults/ Adolescents," or similarly comprehensive and authoritative protocols developed after 2011? (N/A if the agency/facility is not responsible for conducting any form of criminal OR administrative sexual abuse investigations. )	yes
<b>115.121 (c)</b>	<b>Evidence protocol and forensic medical examinations</b>	
	Does the agency offer all victims of sexual abuse access to forensic medical examinations, whether on-site or at an outside facility, without financial cost, where evidentiarily or medically appropriate?	yes
	Are such examinations performed by Sexual Assault Forensic Examiners (SAFEs) or Sexual Assault Nurse Examiners (SANEs) where possible?	yes
	If SAFEs or SANEs cannot be made available, is the examination performed by other qualified medical practitioners (they must have been specifically trained to conduct sexual assault forensic exams)?	yes
	Has the agency documented its efforts to provide SAFEs or SANEs?	yes
<b>115.121 (d)</b>	<b>Evidence protocol and forensic medical examinations</b>	
	If the detainee is transported for a forensic examination to an outside hospital that offers victim advocacy services, does the agency permit the detainee to use such services to the extent available, consistent with security needs?	yes

<b>115.121 (e)</b>	<b>Evidence protocol and forensic medical examinations</b>	
	If the agency itself is not responsible for investigating allegations of sexual abuse, has the agency requested that the investigating entity follow the requirements of paragraphs (a) through (e) of this section? (N/A if the agency/facility is responsible for conducting any form of criminal or administrative sexual abuse investigations.)	na
<b>115.122 (a)</b>	<b>Policies to ensure referrals of allegations for investigations</b>	
	Does the agency ensure an administrative or criminal investigation is completed for all allegations of sexual abuse?	yes
	Does the agency ensure an administrative or criminal investigation is completed for all allegations of sexual harassment?	yes
<b>115.122 (b)</b>	<b>Policies to ensure referrals of allegations for investigations</b>	
	If another law enforcement agency is responsible for conducting investigations of allegations of sexual abuse and sexual harassment in its lockups, does the agency have a policy in place to ensure that such allegations are referred for investigation to an agency with the legal authority to conduct criminal investigations, unless the allegation does not involve potentially criminal behavior? (N/A if agency is responsible for conducting administrative and criminal investigations of sexual abuse or sexual harassment. See 115.121(a).)	na
	Has the agency published such policy, including a description of responsibilities of both the agency and the investigating entity, on its website or, if it does not have one, made the policy available through other means? (N/A if agency is responsible for conducting administrative and criminal investigations of sexual abuse or sexual harassment. See 115.121(a).)	na
	Does the agency document all such referrals? (N/A if agency is responsible for conducting administrative and criminal investigations of sexual abuse or sexual harassment. See 115.121(a).)	na
<b>115.131 (a)</b>	<b>Employee and volunteer training</b>	
	Does the agency train all employees and volunteers who may	yes

	have contact with lockup detainees to be able to fulfill their responsibilities under agency sexual abuse prevention, detection, and response policies and procedures, including training on: Its zero-tolerance policy and detainees' right to be free from sexual abuse and sexual harassment?	
	Does the agency train all employees and volunteers who may have contact with lockup detainees to be able to fulfill their responsibilities under agency sexual abuse prevention, detection, and response policies and procedures, including training on: The dynamics of sexual abuse and sexual harassment in confinement, including which detainees are most vulnerable in lockup settings?	yes
	Does the agency train all employees and volunteers who may have contact with lockup detainees to be able to fulfill their responsibilities under agency sexual abuse prevention, detection, and response policies and procedures, including training on: The right of detainees and employees to be free from retaliation for reporting sexual abuse or harassment?	yes
	Does the agency train all employees and volunteers who may have contact with lockup detainees to be able to fulfill their responsibilities under agency sexual abuse prevention, detection, and response policies and procedures, including training on: How to detect and respond to signs of threatened and actual sexual abuse?	yes
	Does the agency train all employees and volunteers who may have contact with lockup detainees to be able to fulfill their responsibilities under agency sexual abuse prevention, detection, and response policies and procedures, including training on: How to communicate effectively and professionally with all detainees?	yes
	Does the agency train all employees and volunteers who may have contact with lockup detainees to be able to fulfill their responsibilities under agency sexual abuse prevention, detection, and response policies and procedures, including training on: How to comply with relevant laws related to mandatory reporting of sexual abuse to outside authorities?	yes
<b>115.131 (b)</b>	<b>Employee and volunteer training</b>	
	Have all current employees and volunteers who may have contact with detainees received such training?	yes
	Does the agency provide each employee and volunteer with annual refresher information to ensure that they know the agency's current sexual abuse and sexual harassment policies and	yes

	procedures?	
<b>115.131 (c)</b>	<b>Employee and volunteer training</b>	
	Does the agency document, through employee signature or electronic verification, that employees understand the training they have received?	yes
<b>115.132 (a)</b>	<b>Detainee, contractor, and inmate worker notification of the agency's zero-tolerance policy</b>	
	During the intake process, do employees notify all detainees of the agency's zero-tolerance policy regarding sexual abuse and sexual harassment?	yes
<b>115.132 (b)</b>	<b>Detainee, contractor, and inmate worker notification of the agency's zero-tolerance policy</b>	
	Does the agency ensure that, upon entering the lockup, all contractors and any inmates who work in the lockup are informed of the agency's zero-tolerance policy regarding sexual abuse and sexual harassment?	yes
<b>115.134 (a)</b>	<b>Specialized training: Investigations</b>	
	In addition to the general training provided to all employees and volunteers pursuant to §115.131, does the agency ensure that, to the extent the agency itself conducts sexual abuse investigations, its investigators have received training in conducting such investigations in confinement settings? (N/A if the agency does not conduct any form of administrative or criminal sexual abuse investigations. See 115.121(a).)	yes
<b>115.134 (b)</b>	<b>Specialized training: Investigations</b>	
	Does this specialized training include: Techniques for interviewing sexual abuse victims? (N/A if the agency does not conduct any form of administrative or criminal sexual abuse investigations. See 115.121(a).)	yes
	Does this specialized training include: Proper use of Miranda and Garrity warnings? (N/A if the agency does not conduct any form of administrative or criminal sexual abuse investigations. See 115.121(a).)	yes
	Does this specialized training include: Sexual abuse evidence	yes

	collection in confinement settings? (N/A if the agency does not conduct any form of administrative or criminal sexual abuse investigations. See 115.121(a).)	
	Does this specialized training include: The criteria and evidence required to substantiate a case for administrative action or prosecution referral? (N/A if the agency does not conduct any form of administrative or criminal sexual abuse investigations. See 115.121(a).)	yes
<b>115.134 (c)</b>	<b>Specialized training: Investigations</b>	
	Does the agency maintain documentation that agency investigators have completed the required specialized training in conducting sexual abuse investigations? (N/A if the agency does not conduct any form of administrative or criminal sexual abuse investigations. See 115.121(a).)	yes
<b>115.141 (a)</b>	<b>Screening for risk of victimization and abusiveness</b>	
	If the lockup is not utilized to house detainees overnight, before placing any detainees together in a holding cell do staff consider whether, based on the information before them, a detainee may be at a high risk of being sexually abused? (N/A if the lockup is utilized to house detainees overnight.)	na
	When appropriate, do staff take necessary steps to mitigate such danger to the detainee? (N/A if the lockup is utilized to house detainees overnight.)	na
<b>115.141 (b)</b>	<b>Screening for risk of victimization and abusiveness</b>	
	If the lockup is utilized to house detainees overnight, are all detainees screened to assess their risk of being sexually abused by other detainees or sexually abusive toward other detainees? (N/A if lockup is NOT used to house detainees overnight.)	yes
<b>115.141 (c)</b>	<b>Screening for risk of victimization and abusiveness</b>	
	In lockups described in paragraph (b) of this section, do staff always ask the detainee about his or her own perception of vulnerability? (N/A if lockup is NOT used to house detainees overnight.)	yes
<b>115.141</b>	<b>Screening for risk of victimization and abusiveness</b>	

<b>(d)</b>		
	Does the screening process in the lockups described in paragraph (b) of this section consider, to the extent that the information is available, the following criteria to screen detainees for risk of sexual victimization: Whether the detainee has a mental, physical, or developmental disability. (N/A if lockup is NOT used to house detainees overnight.)	yes
	Does the screening process in the lockups described in paragraph (b) of this section consider, to the extent that the information is available, the following criteria to screen detainees for risk of sexual victimization: The age of the detainee? (N/A if lockup is NOT used to house detainees overnight.)	yes
	Does the screening process in the lockups described in paragraph (b) of this section consider, to the extent that the information is available, the following criteria to screen detainees for risk of sexual victimization: The physical build and appearance of the detainee? (N/A if lockup is NOT used to house detainees overnight.)	yes
	Does the screening process in the lockups described in paragraph (b) of this section consider, to the extent that the information is available, the following criteria to screen detainees for risk of sexual victimization: Whether the detainee has previously been incarcerated? (N/A if lockup is NOT used to house detainees overnight.)	yes
	Does the screening process in the lockups described in paragraph (b) of this section consider, to the extent that the information is available, the following criteria to screen detainees for risk of sexual victimization: The nature of the detainee’s alleged offense and criminal history? (N/A if lockup is NOT used to house detainees overnight.)	yes
<b>115.151 (a)</b>	<b>Detainee reporting</b>	
	Does the agency provide multiple ways for detainees to privately report: Sexual abuse and sexual harassment?	yes
	Does the agency provide multiple ways for detainees to privately report: Retaliation by other detainees or staff for reporting sexual abuse and sexual harassment?	yes
	Does the agency provide multiple ways for detainees to privately report: Staff neglect or violation of responsibilities that may have contributed to such incidents?	yes

<b>115.151 (b)</b>	<b>Detainee reporting</b>	
	Does the agency also provide at least one way for detainees to report sexual abuse or sexual harassment to a public or private entity or office that is not part of the agency?	yes
	Is that entity or office able to receive and immediately forward detainee reports of sexual abuse and sexual harassment to agency officials?	yes
	Does that private entity or office allow the detainee to remain anonymous upon request?	yes
<b>115.151 (c)</b>	<b>Detainee reporting</b>	
	Do staff members accept reports of sexual abuse and sexual harassment made verbally, in writing, anonymously, and from third parties?	yes
	Do staff members promptly document any verbal reports of sexual abuse and sexual harassment ?	yes
<b>115.151 (d)</b>	<b>Detainee reporting</b>	
	Does the agency provide a method for staff to privately report sexual abuse and sexual harassment of detainees?	yes
<b>115.154 (a)</b>	<b>Third-party reporting</b>	
	Has the agency established a method to receive third-party reports of sexual abuse and sexual harassment in its lockups?	yes
	Has the agency distributed publicly information on how to report sexual abuse and sexual harassment on behalf of a detainee?	yes
<b>115.161 (a)</b>	<b>Staff and agency reporting duties</b>	
	Does the agency require all staff to report immediately and according to agency policy any knowledge, suspicion, or information regarding an incident of sexual abuse or sexual harassment that occurred in an agency lockup?	yes
	Does the agency require all staff to report immediately and according to agency policy any knowledge, suspicion, or information regarding retaliation against detainees or staff who	yes

	reported such an incident?	
	Does the agency require all staff to report immediately and according to agency policy any knowledge, suspicion, or information regarding any staff neglect or violation of responsibilities that may have contributed to an incident of sexual abuse or sexual harassment or retaliation?	yes
<b>115.161 (b)</b>	<b>Staff and agency reporting duties</b>	
	Apart from reporting to designated supervisors or officials, do staff always refrain from revealing any information related to a sexual abuse report to anyone other than to the extent necessary, as specified in agency policy, to make treatment, and investigation decisions?	yes
<b>115.161 (c)</b>	<b>Staff and agency reporting duties</b>	
	If the alleged victim is under the age of 18 or considered a vulnerable adult under a State or local vulnerable persons statute, does the agency report the allegation to the designated State or local services agency under applicable mandatory reporting laws?	yes
<b>115.161 (d)</b>	<b>Staff and agency reporting duties</b>	
	Does the agency report all allegations of sexual abuse, including third-party and anonymous reports, to the agency's designated investigators?	yes
<b>115.162 (a)</b>	<b>Agency protection duties</b>	
	When the agency learns that a detainee is subject to a substantial risk of imminent sexual abuse, does it take immediate action to protect the detainee?	yes
<b>115.163 (a)</b>	<b>Reporting to other confinement facilities</b>	
	Upon receiving an allegation that a detainee was sexually abused while confined at another facility, does the head of the facility that received the allegation notify the head of the facility or appropriate office of the agency where the alleged abuse occurred?	yes
<b>115.163 (b)</b>	<b>Reporting to other confinement facilities</b>	

	Is such notification provided as soon as possible, but no later than 72 hours after receiving the allegation?	yes
<b>115.163 (c)</b>	<b>Reporting to other confinement facilities</b>	
	Does the agency document that it has provided such notification?	yes
<b>115.163 (d)</b>	<b>Reporting to other confinement facilities</b>	
	Does the facility head or agency office that receives such notification ensure that the allegation is investigated in accordance with these standards?	yes
<b>115.164 (a)</b>	<b>Staff first responder duties</b>	
	Upon learning of an allegation that a detainee was sexually abused, is the first law enforcement staff member to respond to the report required to: Separate the alleged victim and abuser?	yes
	Upon learning of an allegation that a detainee was sexually abused, is the first law enforcement staff member to respond to the report required to: Preserve and protect any crime scene until appropriate steps can be taken to collect any evidence?	yes
	Upon learning of an allegation that a detainee was sexually abused, is the first law enforcement staff member to respond to the report required to: Request that the alleged victim not take any actions that could destroy physical evidence, including, as appropriate, washing, brushing teeth, changing clothes, urinating, defecating, smoking, drinking, or eating, if the abuse occurred within a time period that still allows for the collection of physical evidence?	yes
	Upon learning of an allegation that a detainee was sexually abused, is the first law enforcement staff member to respond to the report required to: Ensure that the alleged abuser does not take any actions that could destroy physical evidence, including, as appropriate, washing, brushing teeth, changing clothes, urinating, defecating, smoking, drinking, or eating, if the abuse occurred within a time period that still allows for the collection of physical evidence?	yes
<b>115.164 (b)</b>	<b>Staff first responder duties</b>	
	If the first staff responder is not a law enforcement staff member,	yes

	is the responder required to request that the alleged victim not take any actions that could destroy physical evidence, and then notify law enforcement staff?	
<b>115.165 (a)</b>	<b>Coordinated response</b>	
	Has the agency developed a written institutional plan to coordinate actions among staff first responders, medical and mental health practitioners, investigators, and facility leadership taken in response to a lockup incident of sexual abuse?	yes
	If a victim is transferred from the lockup to a jail, prison, or medical facility, does the agency, as permitted by law and unless the victim requests otherwise, inform the receiving facility of the incident and the victim's potential need for medical or social services?	yes
<b>115.165 (b)</b>	<b>Coordinated response</b>	
	If a victim is transferred from the lockup to a jail, prison, or medical facility, does the agency, as permitted by law, inform the receiving facility of the incident unless the victim requests otherwise? (N/A if the agency is not permitted by law to inform a receiving facility, where a victim is transferred from the lockup to a jail, prison, or medical facility as a result of an allegation of sexual abuse of the incident and the victim's potential need for medical or social services.)	yes
	If a victim is transferred from the lockup to a jail, prison, or medical facility, does the agency, as permitted by law, inform the receiving facility of the victim's potential need for medical or social services unless the victim requests otherwise? (N/A if the agency is not permitted by law to inform a receiving facility, where a victim is transferred from the lockup to a jail, prison, or medical facility as a result of an allegation of sexual abuse of the incident and the victim's potential need for medical or social services.)	yes
<b>115.166 (a)</b>	<b>Preservation of ability to protect detainees from contact with abusers</b>	
	Are both the agency and any other governmental entities responsible for collective bargaining on the agency's behalf prohibited from entering into or renewing any collective bargaining agreement or other agreement that limits the agency's ability to remove alleged staff sexual abusers from contact with detainees pending the outcome of an investigation or of a	yes

	determination of whether and to what extent discipline is warranted?	
<b>115.167 (a)</b>	<b>Agency protection against retaliation</b>	
	Has the agency established a policy to protect all detainees and staff who report sexual abuse or sexual harassment or cooperate with sexual abuse or sexual harassment investigations from retaliation by other detainees or staff?	yes
	Has the agency designated which staff members or departments are charged with monitoring retaliation?	yes
<b>115.167 (b)</b>	<b>Agency protection against retaliation</b>	
	Does the agency employ multiple protection measures, such as housing changes or transfers for detainee victims or abusers, removal of alleged staff or detainee abusers from contact with victims, and emotional support services for detainees or staff who fear retaliation for reporting sexual abuse or sexual harassment or for cooperating with investigations?	yes
<b>115.167 (c)</b>	<b>Agency protection against retaliation</b>	
	Except in instances where the agency determines that a report of sexual abuse is unfounded, does the agency: Monitor the conduct and treatment of detainees or staff who have reported sexual abuse?	yes
	Except in instances where the agency determines that a report of sexual abuse is unfounded, does the agency: Monitor the conduct and treatment of detainees who were reported to have suffered sexual abuse?	yes
	Except in instances where the agency determines that a report of sexual abuse is unfounded, does the agency: Act promptly to remedy any such retaliation?	yes
<b>115.167 (d)</b>	<b>Agency protection against retaliation</b>	
	If any other individual who cooperates with an investigation expresses a fear of retaliation, does the agency take appropriate measures to protect that individual against retaliation?	yes
<b>115.171 (a)</b>	<b>Criminal and administrative agency investigations</b>	

	When the agency conducts its own investigations into allegations of sexual abuse and sexual harassment, does it do so promptly, thoroughly, and objectively? (N/A if the agency/facility is not responsible for conducting any form of criminal OR administrative sexual abuse investigations. See 115.121(a).)	yes
	Does the agency conduct such investigations for all allegations, including third party and anonymous reports? (N/A if the agency/facility is not responsible for conducting any form of criminal OR administrative sexual abuse investigations. See 115.121(a).)	yes
<b>115.171 (b)</b>	<b>Criminal and administrative agency investigations</b>	
	Where sexual abuse is alleged, does the agency use investigators who have received specialized training in sexual abuse investigations as required by 115.134?	yes
<b>115.171 (c)</b>	<b>Criminal and administrative agency investigations</b>	
	Do investigators gather and preserve direct and circumstantial evidence, including any available physical and DNA evidence and any available electronic monitoring data?	yes
	Do investigators interview alleged victims, suspected perpetrators, and witnesses?	yes
	Do investigators review prior reports and complaints of sexual abuse involving the suspected perpetrator?	yes
<b>115.171 (d)</b>	<b>Criminal and administrative agency investigations</b>	
	When the quality of evidence appears to support criminal prosecution, does the agency conduct compelled interviews only after consulting with prosecutors as to whether compelled interviews may be an obstacle for subsequent criminal prosecution?	yes
<b>115.171 (e)</b>	<b>Criminal and administrative agency investigations</b>	
	Do agency investigators assess the credibility of an alleged victim, suspect, or witness on an individual basis and not on the basis of that individual's status as detainee or staff?	yes
	Does the agency investigate allegations of sexual abuse without requiring a detainee who alleges sexual abuse to submit to a polygraph examination or other truth-telling device as a condition	yes

	for proceeding?	
<b>115.171 (f)</b>	<b>Criminal and administrative agency investigations</b>	
	Do administrative investigations include an effort to determine whether staff actions or failures to act contributed to the abuse?	yes
	Are administrative investigations documented in written reports that include a description of the physical evidence and testimonial evidence, the reasoning behind credibility assessments, and investigative facts and findings?	yes
<b>115.171 (g)</b>	<b>Criminal and administrative agency investigations</b>	
	Are criminal investigations documented in a written report that contains a thorough description of the physical, testimonial, and documentary evidence and attaches copies of all documentary evidence where feasible?	yes
<b>115.171 (h)</b>	<b>Criminal and administrative agency investigations</b>	
	Are all substantiated allegations of conduct that appears to be criminal referred for prosecution?	yes
<b>115.171 (i)</b>	<b>Criminal and administrative agency investigations</b>	
	Does the agency retain all written reports referenced in 115.171(f) and (g) for as long as the alleged abuser is incarcerated or employed by the agency, plus five years?	yes
<b>115.171 (j)</b>	<b>Criminal and administrative agency investigations</b>	
	Does the agency ensure that the departure of an alleged abuser or victim from the employment or control of the lockup or agency does not provide a basis for terminating an investigation?	yes
<b>115.171 (l)</b>	<b>Criminal and administrative agency investigations</b>	
	When outside agencies investigate sexual abuse, does the agency cooperate with outside investigators and endeavor to remain informed about the progress of the investigation? (N/A if an outside agency does not conduct administrative or criminal sexual abuse investigations. See 115.121(a). )	na

<b>115.172 (a)</b>	<b>Evidentiary standard for administrative investigations</b>	
	Is it true that the agency does not impose a standard higher than a preponderance of the evidence in determining whether allegations of sexual abuse or sexual harassment are substantiated?	yes
<b>115.176 (a)</b>	<b>Disciplinary sanctions for staff</b>	
	Are staff subject to disciplinary sanctions up to and including termination for violating agency sexual abuse or sexual harassment policies?	yes
<b>115.176 (b)</b>	<b>Disciplinary sanctions for staff</b>	
	Is termination the presumptive disciplinary sanction for staff who have engaged in sexual abuse?	yes
<b>115.176 (c)</b>	<b>Disciplinary sanctions for staff</b>	
	Are disciplinary sanctions for violations of agency policies relating to sexual abuse or sexual harassment (other than actually engaging in sexual abuse) commensurate with the nature and circumstances of the acts committed, the staff member's disciplinary history, and the sanctions imposed for comparable offenses by other staff with similar histories?	yes
<b>115.176 (d)</b>	<b>Disciplinary sanctions for staff</b>	
	Are all terminations for violations of agency sexual abuse or sexual harassment policies, or resignations by staff who would have been terminated if not for their resignation, reported to: o Law enforcement agencies, unless the activity was clearly not criminal?	yes
	Are all terminations for violations of agency sexual abuse or sexual harassment policies, or resignations by staff who would have been terminated if not for their resignation, reported to: Relevant licensing bodies?	yes
<b>115.177 (a)</b>	<b>Corrective action for contractors and volunteers</b>	
	Is any contractor or volunteer who engages in sexual abuse prohibited from contact with detainees?	yes

	Is any contractor or volunteer who engages in sexual abuse reported to: Law enforcement agencies(unless the activity was clearly not criminal)?	yes
	Is any contractor or volunteer who engages in sexual abuse reported to: Relevant licensing bodies?	yes
<b>115.177 (b)</b>	<b>Corrective action for contractors and volunteers</b>	
	In the case of any other violation of agency sexual abuse or sexual harassment policies by a contractor or volunteer, does the facility take appropriate remedial measures, and consider whether to prohibit further contact with detainees?	yes
<b>115.178 (a)</b>	<b>Referral for prosecution for detainee-on-detainee sexual abuse</b>	
	When there is probable cause to believe that a detainee sexually abused another detainee in a lockup, does the agency refer the matter to the appropriate prosecuting authority?	yes
<b>115.178 (b)</b>	<b>Referral for prosecution for detainee-on-detainee sexual abuse</b>	
	If the agency itself is not responsible for investigating allegations of sexual abuse, does the agency inform the investigating entity of this policy? (N/A if the agency/facility is responsible for administrative and criminal investigations. See 115.121(a).)	na
<b>115.182 (a)</b>	<b>Access to emergency medical and mental health services</b>	
	Do detainee victims of sexual abuse in lockups receive timely, unimpeded access to emergency medical treatment?	yes
<b>115.182 (b)</b>	<b>Access to emergency medical and mental health services</b>	
	Are treatment services provided to the victim without financial cost and regardless of whether the victim names the abuser or cooperates with any investigation arising out of the incident?	yes
<b>115.186 (a)</b>	<b>Sexual abuse incident reviews</b>	
	Does the lockup conduct a sexual abuse incident review at the conclusion of every sexual abuse investigation, including where	yes

	the allegation has not been substantiated, unless the allegation has been determined to be unfounded?	
<b>115.186 (b)</b>	<b>Sexual abuse incident reviews</b>	
	Does such review ordinarily occur within 30 days of the conclusion of the investigation?	yes
<b>115.186 (c)</b>	<b>Sexual abuse incident reviews</b>	
	Does the review team include upper-level management officials, with input from line supervisors and investigators?	yes
<b>115.186 (d)</b>	<b>Sexual abuse incident reviews</b>	
	Does the review team: Consider whether the allegation or investigation indicates a need to change policy or practice to better prevent, detect, or respond to sexual abuse?	yes
	The subsection of this provision is no longer applicable to your compliance finding, please select N/A.	na
	Does the review team: Examine the area in the lockup where the incident allegedly occurred to assess whether physical barriers in the area may enable abuse?	yes
	Does the review team: Assess the adequacy of staffing levels in that area during different shifts?	yes
	Does the review team: Assess whether monitoring technology should be deployed or augmented to supplement supervision by staff?	yes
	Does the review team: Prepare a report of its findings, including but not necessarily limited to determinations made pursuant to §§ 115.186(d)(1)-(d)(5), and any recommendations for improvement and submit such report to the lockup head and agency PREA coordinator?	yes
<b>115.186 (e)</b>	<b>Sexual abuse incident reviews</b>	
	Does the lockup implement the recommendations for improvement, or document its reasons for not doing so?	yes
<b>115.187</b>	<b>Data collection</b>	

<b>(a)</b>		
	Does the agency collect accurate, uniform data for every allegation of sexual abuse at lockups under its direct control using a standardized instrument and set of definitions?	yes
<b>115.187 (b)</b>	<b>Data collection</b>	
	Does the agency aggregate the incident-based sexual abuse data at least annually?	yes
<b>115.187 (c)</b>	<b>Data collection</b>	
	Does the incident-based data include, at a minimum, the data necessary to answer all questions from the most recent version of the Local Jail Jurisdictions Survey of Sexual Violence conducted by the Department of Justice, or any subsequent form developed by the Department of Justice and designated for lockups?	yes
<b>115.187 (d)</b>	<b>Data collection</b>	
	Does the agency maintain, review, and collect data as needed from all available incident-based documents, including reports, investigation files, and sexual abuse incident reviews?	yes
<b>115.187 (e)</b>	<b>Data collection</b>	
	Does the agency also obtain incident-based and aggregated data from every private facility with which it contracts for the confinement of its detainees? (N/A if the agency does not contract for the confinement of its detainees.)	na
<b>115.187 (f)</b>	<b>Data collection</b>	
	Does the agency, upon request, provide all such data from the previous calendar year to the Department of Justice no later than June 30? (N/A if DOJ has not requested agency data.)	na
<b>115.188 (a)</b>	<b>Data review for corrective action</b>	
	Does the agency review data collected and aggregated pursuant to § 115.187 in order to assess and improve the effectiveness of its sexual abuse prevention, detection, and response policies, practices, and training, including by: Identifying problem areas?	yes

	Does the agency review data collected and aggregated pursuant to § 115.187 in order to assess and improve the effectiveness of its sexual abuse prevention, detection, and response policies, practices, and training, including by: Taking corrective action on an ongoing basis?	yes
	Does the agency review data collected and aggregated pursuant to § 115.187 in order to assess and improve the effectiveness of its sexual abuse prevention, detection, and response policies, practices, and training, including by: Preparing an annual report of its findings and corrective actions for each lockup, as well as the agency as a whole?	yes
<b>115.188 (b)</b>	<b>Data review for corrective action</b>	
	Does the agency's annual report include a comparison of the current year's data and corrective actions with those from prior years and provide an assessment of the agency's progress in addressing sexual abuse?	yes
<b>115.188 (c)</b>	<b>Data review for corrective action</b>	
	Is the agency's annual report approved by the agency head and made readily available to the public through its website or, if it does not have one, through other means?	yes
<b>115.188 (d)</b>	<b>Data review for corrective action</b>	
	Does the agency indicate the nature of the material redacted where it redacts specific material from the reports when publication would present a clear and specific threat to the safety and security of a lockup?	yes
<b>115.189 (a)</b>	<b>Data storage, publication, and destruction</b>	
	Does the agency ensure that data collected pursuant to § 115.187 are securely retained?	yes
<b>115.189 (b)</b>	<b>Data storage, publication, and destruction</b>	
	Does the agency make all aggregated sexual abuse data, from lockups under its direct control and any private agencies with which it contracts, readily available to the public at least annually through its website or, if it does not have one, through other	yes

	means?	
<b>115.189 (c)</b>	<b>Data storage, publication, and destruction</b>	
	Does the agency remove all personal identifiers before making aggregated sexual abuse data publicly available?	yes
<b>115.189 (d)</b>	<b>Data storage, publication, and destruction</b>	
	Does the agency maintain sexual abuse data collected pursuant to § 115.187 for at least 10 years after the date of the initial collection, unless Federal, State, or local law requires otherwise?	yes
<b>115.401 (a)</b>	<b>Frequency and scope of audits</b>	
	During the prior three-year audit period, did the agency ensure that each facility operated by the agency, or by a private organization on behalf of the agency, was audited at least once? (Note: The response here is purely informational. A "no" response does not impact overall compliance with this standard.)	yes
<b>115.401 (b)</b>	<b>Frequency and scope of audits</b>	
	Is this the first year of the current audit cycle? (Note: a "no" response does not impact overall compliance with this standard.)	yes
	If this is the second year of the current audit cycle, did the agency ensure that at least one-third of each facility type operated by the agency, or by a private organization on behalf of the agency, was audited during the first year of the current audit cycle? (N/A if this is not the second year of the current audit cycle.)	na
	If this is the third year of the current audit cycle, did the agency ensure that at least two-thirds of each facility type operated by the agency, or by a private organization on behalf of the agency, were audited during the first two years of the current audit cycle? (N/A if this is not the third year of the current audit cycle.)	na
<b>115.401 (h)</b>	<b>Frequency and scope of audits</b>	
	Did the auditor have access to, and the ability to observe, all areas of the audited facility?	yes
<b>115.401 (i)</b>	<b>Frequency and scope of audits</b>	

	Was the auditor permitted to request and receive copies of any relevant documents (including electronically stored information)?	yes
<b>115.401 (m)</b>	<b>Frequency and scope of audits</b>	
	Was the auditor permitted to conduct private interviews with inmates, residents, and detainees?	yes
<b>115.401 (n)</b>	<b>Frequency and scope of audits</b>	
	Were inmates permitted to send confidential information or correspondence to the auditor in the same manner as if they were communicating with legal counsel?	yes
<b>115.403 (f)</b>	<b>Audit contents and findings</b>	
	The agency has published on its agency website, if it has one, or has otherwise made publicly available, all Final Audit Reports. The review period is for prior audits completed during the past three years PRECEDING THIS AUDIT. The pendency of any agency appeal pursuant to 28 C.F.R. § 115.405 does not excuse noncompliance with this provision. (N/A if there have been no Final Audit Reports issued in the past three years, or, in the case of single facility agencies, there has never been a Final Audit Report issued.)	yes